

COLLEGE TOWNSHIP COUNCIL  
REGULAR MEETING  
JANUARY 12, 1984  
7:30 P.M.

The regular meeting of the College Township Council was called to order by Chairman Taricani on Thursday, January 12, 1984, at 7:30 p.m. in the College Township Municipal Building.

Members present: Gale L. Dargitz, Max E. Hartswick, Fred E. Smith, Herbert W. Stewart, Dolores A. Taricani - Chairman

Others present: C. Thomas Lechner, Manager  
Beulah L. Houser, Administrative Assistant  
Robert L. Hayden, Treasurer

OPEN DISCUSSION

No one spoke at this time, although approximately 30 people were in the audience.

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

Smith asked that a typographical error be corrected in the Minutes of the December 8, 1983 meeting; Page 2, to read "changed by more than."

Taricani noted that the Minutes of the December 22, 1983 meeting, Page 3, should read "Asked by Yingling, a Veterinarian, if Physicians at the Hospital would be subject to the Mercantile Tax, McCormick replied Yes". Smith said that McCormick had replied yes, if they had their offices in the Township. Taricani said if that were correct to let it stand.

Taricani also referred to the Minutes of the December 29, 1983 meeting, Page 3, Paragraph 4, saying the intent is right but the wording confused. The Recording Secretary would like to re-word the paragraph to clarify the intent. It should read as follows:

Taricani said she thought Codification had been removed from the budget and sewer re-location, because of Bypass construction, should be compensated by the State. She also thought the computer purchase could be postponed. Taricani was reluctant to discuss the budget further, saying to do so was unfair to Lechner. She had only wanted to bring out those points in order to be open about presenting this matter before Dean and Bailey went off Council.

Hartswick then moved that the Minutes of the December 8, December 22, December 29, 1983 meetings and the January 3 and January 4, 1983 meetings be approved with the noted revisions. With Stewart's second, these minutes were unanimously approved.

MANAGER'S UPDATE

Smith asked if the definition of the term "Family" was available now. Lectner replied that it was in the Planning Commission's recommendations under "Proposed Amendments to the Zoning Ordinance and Subdivision". It will be included, said Lechner, with materials for the next regular meeting.

Taricani asked that new members receive the proposed Amendments early since they will need more time to become familiar with them.

TREASURER'S REPORT

Smith moved and Hartswick seconded that Council receive the Treasurer's report. No discussion took place. The motion carried.

CORRESPONDENCE

The Chairman noted that the following correspondence had been received:

- C-1 Update Information from PSATS
- C-2 Letter from Chief of Police, Elwood G. Williams, Jr. with an enclosure concerning the Police Services 1984 Budget
- C-3 Letter from George Mark informing Council of a towing transaction.

Taricani said Council had received a letter from Lee Shields, thanking them for their budget review and informing them that David Coe, a Township resident, was willing to make an energy audit of the Township Building for the purpose of reducing energy consumption. Shields also stated his offer to install block heaters in Township trucks provided Council eliminate the practice of heating the building where these vehicles are stored.

Smith said that Council should accept these offers. He also said that an electrical contractor in the Township had offered to provide automatic thermostats in the Township Building. ~~Taricani asked that the electrical contractor's letter be given to Lechner.~~  
*SMITH SAID NO LETTER FROM ELECTRICAL CONTRACTOR HAD BEEN RECEIVED ONLY A VERBAL OFFER.*

PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATIONS

Council scheduled a Public Hearing on the Highland Development Joint Venture's request to rezone land from R-2 to R-3. The Public Hearing will be held on February 9, 1984, at 7:00 p.m. Smith moved, Hartswick seconded.

BUSINESS

B-1 Manager's Proposed Amended Budget. Smith moved that Council adopt the Manager's Revised 1984 Budget. Hartswick seconded the motion and Chairman Taricani opened the subject for discussion, asking each Councilman to express his opinion on the proposed amended budget.

Smith said that there were some items in the budget with which he did not fully agree but, as a compromise, they were acceptable for the time being. He understood there was some flexibility within the course of the year so that if funding was adequate, items could be added. With this understanding, Smith thought it was a workable budget for now.

Hartswick said that those were his feelings and that if items could be changed from one line to another, then he could approve the budget.

Taricani clarified their statements saying you mean you have changes you would make but they could be made later by moving items around.

Dargitz stated that his comments would be colored by the fact that the Manager was requested to cut \$30,000 out of the budget. Dargitz did not feel this was necessary and said that the results would rest on the shoulders of Councilmen who made the request. Dargitz said this was a ploy to eliminate the Business Privilege and Mercantile Tax and would continue to place the load on the College Township residents and wage earners who pay some \$400,000 in Earned Income Tax and Real Estate Tax.

The Mercantile Tax, said Dargitz, would raise some \$36,000 which he did not feel was a disproportionate amount for businessmen to pay for the services they receive in the Township. It was unfortunate, continued Dargitz, that five or six business people who, through another ploy of the so-called donation which we will never see again, have done a great dis-service to the citizens of College Township. Dargitz said he was discouraged and disgusted and thought the situation was disgraceful.

Asked by Dargitz why the six-page memo from the Manager describing the amended budget was not released to the press, Taricani replied she had no problem with that idea; Lechner had preferred not to have it circulated at this time.

Dargitz went on to say that the big payers of the tax -- the Riversides, the Hills, the GeeBees, the Sears, and other major stores in our Township -- are already paying the tax in other Townships in Pennsylvania. They have not been complaining and would have been the big payers.

Stewart expressed his opinion, saying the Manager did as he had been instructed and cut expenses. However, he thought there were some items that should not have been kept in the amended budget.

Lechner said that as Manager he had a certain responsibility to the Township, the businesses, the business people and to Council. He said one of his primary functions was to provide financial guidance for the Township. No one likes to take cuts, he said, and he was directed by Council to prepare an amended budget -- one that eliminated

the Mercantile Tax, the two-mill increase in Real Estate Taxes, and \$30,000-plus in expenditures. Lechner said he did so reluctantly because in 1982 when he began work as Township Manager, there were some very serious problems with finances. Lechner said he shuttered to think it could happen again.

Speaking of where they were now and where they were going tomorrow, Lechner said that based on budget projections, the Township could have a very serious cash flow problem by March 1984 because not enough revenue would be coming in during the first three months. In April, however, the Township will receive a large sum of money and the problem is virtually over. It is possible, said Lechner, we will have to get a Tax Anticipation Loan in March.

What I dread most, continued Lechner, is an emergency or a severe winter where snow removal will be costly. Where will that money come from, he asked?

Lechner then said he was asking Council, when amending the budget, to increase the Real Estate Tax by one mill. Half of that mill would go into an Equipment Reserve Fund, he said, because "down the road" they would surely need a \$40,000 item.

Lechner stated that the businessmen, as of that night, had brought in checks totalling \$11,475. We can't budget pledges like that, he said, but I would like to think that money may go for some project - perhaps the parking lot I am trying to have built on the west side of the building or the money may go towards equipment. So if it weren't for that money, he continued, I would probably be asking for two mills.

Lechner added that the acceptance of the amended budget was near-sighted and did not address concerns the Township will have over the next few years.

Referring to the three- to four-year forecast presented to Council last spring, Lechner said that it was a clear indication that the Township would be burdened with substantial cost increases if the policy was pursued to keep service levels at the present pace.

Most of all, Lechner added, the staff will make every effort to continue to preserve the goals of advancing revenues and conserving expenditures. Our Township is experiencing growing pains and we all have to grow with it together.

Smith then asked for the 1984 projected revenues for the first three months. Lechner replied that January revenues would be approximately \$58,000; February \$54,000; and March \$22,000. Taricani asked for any comments from the audience. Surprisingly, there were none and Smith called for the question on his motion to adopt the Manager's Amended 1984 Budget.

Dargitz quickly asked if the Amended Budget included a one-mill increase in the Real Estate Tax. Taricani replied no.

The motion carried 4-1, with Dargitz casting the dissenting vote.

Then Taricani said an amendment was needed to rescind the taxes and also a motion to advertise the Ordinance. Lechner stated that Council had to announce their intent to adopt an Amended Budget on a specific date. He also said that Council had to repeal Ordinance No. 83.

Stewart then moved that Council repeal Ordinance No. 83 retro-active to January 1, 1984 but after Hartswick's second, Dargitz said that Ordinance No. 83 could not be rescinded that night and neither could the Amended Budget be adopted that night. Both had to be advertised, therefore the motions were stated improperly.

Taricani said they should have another motion incorporating both items and asked Lechner to word such a motion that would satisfy the procedural rules.

Lechner suggested "The College Township Council intends to adopt a 1984 Amended Budget at the meeting to be held January 26, 1984 at 4:00 p.m. and to adopt an ordinance to repeal Ordinance No. 83, the Mercantile License and Business Privilege Tax, retro-active to January 1, 1984."

Dargitz asked about a motion to repeal the Two-Mill Tax Increase Resolution. Lechner replied that a resolution can be repealed any time and can be handled also at the January 26 Meeting. It doesn't require advertising.

Lechner re-read his suggested motion. Smith moved that Council approve it, Hartswick seconded the motion and it passed 4-1, Dargitz dissenting.

B-2 Resolution #99 -- Temporary Parking Restrictions in Penn Hills. Proposed Resolution #99 was read by Taricani who then said it was developed because the CATA buses did not have adequate space in which to maneuver through the Penn Hills streets.

Stewart asked if the State regulated the fines, if the violations were to be handled like a regular parking ticket and why the proposed Resolution stated "Temporary Parking Restrictions?"

Lechner replied that since a violation of the parking restrictions would be illegal parking, it would result in a fine established by the Township.

Hartswick then moved that Resolution #99 be approved, Smith seconded and the motion carried.

B-3 & 4 Delegates to PSATS Convention in Hershey. Taricani said that in a cost-cutting measure Council decided to not only forego Meeting Compensation this year but would also forego reimbursements to conventions, i.e., Councilmen are not planning to attend. Taricani suggested they appoint the Manager as the Voting Delegate if he decides to go.

Lechner verified the fact that as Council Secretary he could be appointed as a Voting Delegate.

Stewart moved that providing it was acceptable with Lechner, he should be designated as the Voting Delegate to the PSATS Convention. After Smith's second, the motion was approved.

B-5 Appointments to ABCs and Action to Amend a Planning Commission Member's Term. Taricani said a clerical error had been made that resulted in three Planning Commission Members coming up for re-appointment at the end of one year and only one coming up for re-appointment at the end of the next year. To remedy the mistake, Taricani recommended that a member who should be re-appointed for a full term now, could be re-appointed for only a one-year term and then re-appointed for a full term next year.

Dargitz then moved that Council re-appoint Jay D. Struble to the Industrial Development Authority. With Stewart's second the motion carried 3-2.

After more discussion on appointments, Smith then moved that Council approve the list of suggested appointments to the Authorities, Boards, and Commissions. Hartswick seconded the motion and another discussion developed on how well Council knew the people for whom they were voting. Taricani commented that a more current list of volunteers was needed.

A vote on the list of appointments and re-appointments resulted in the motion passing unanimously. The list of appointments is as follows:

Jay D. Struble	Industrial Development Authority
Eric Ferrin	Schlow Memorial Library Board of Directors (recommendation to State College Borough)
William Murphy	Parks & Recreation Committee
George Milburn	Parks & Recreation Committee
Robert Booz	Parks & Recreation Committee
Bryce Boyer	Parks & Recreation Committee
Norman Deno	Environmental Advisory Council
Joseph Humphreys	Environmental Advisory Council
Louise Mayes	Representative on Centre Region Conscious- ness Group
Elvira Meyer	Representative on Centre Region Senior Citizens Advisory Commission
Donald Joyce	Planning Commission (ONE YEAR TERM)
Gerald Garbrick	COG Rental Housing Advisory Commission
Donald E. McCormick	Zoning Hearing Board

Council Regular Meeting  
January 12, 1984  
Page 7

Council also voted to establish the following Depositories:

Farmers Community Bank  
Peoples National Bank  
Central Counties Bank  
PA Local Government Investment Trust

for Township Funds; Hartswick moved and Stewart seconded. Unanimously carried.

B-6 State College Jaycees Request to Use Township Building for Meetings.

Taricani stated that the Township had a written policy that only organizations whose membership was comprised of at least 50% Township residents were permitted to use the Township Building. Since the Jaycees did not meet that qualification, Dargitz said that allowing them to use the building would establish a precedent for others. Council then by consensus decided that, while sympathetic to the Jaycees' need for a meeting place, they wanted to adhere to their policy of not allowing groups with memberships less than 50% College Township residents to use the building.

B-7 Discussion of Re-Assessment of Business and Personal Properties. Taricani asked that discussion of this item be postponed until Council had more information. (B-7 is discussed later in the meeting.)

B-8 Update on the Matternville Center. Although not on the Agenda, Dan Stearns, Township Representative to the Matternville Center Advisory Board, reported on recent developments with the Center. Four tenants, he said, have signed leases and two businesses are operating from the Center now with a third to move in very soon. The College Township IDA, said Stearns, has offered to guarantee the rent for another year so that fledgling businesses considering moving into the Center won't be deterred by the thought of having to move out in October. Hopefully, Stearns said, the tenants will be paying enough rent by then so that utilities can be covered.

After the Matternville Center report, Dargitz asked why Council was delaying the discussion of the Re-Assessment of Business and Personal Properties.

Taricani replied that Council could discuss it if they wanted to. She just thought she needed more information.

Smith agreed but added that Council definitely needs to investigate the process of re-assessment.

Taricani said to Dargitz that he had discussed the issue with Jeff Bower who said Council could request assessment on a case-by-case basis. Taricani thought more information was needed to determine the cases.

Council Regular Meeting  
January 12, 1984  
Page 8

Lechner said he had put it on the Agenda because it came out of the Minutes of a previous meeting.

As Council tried to decide how they would select businesses for re-assessment, Smith asked if the Manager or the Treasurer could prepare a list of businesses for re-assessment. Dargitz responded that they could not ask for a list without guidelines. Smith thought the same people who Council expected to pay the Mercantile Tax should be the ones to be re-assessed first.

Dargitz replied that was all the businesses in the Township.

Taricani then suggested that Council request the Manager and the Treasurer to prepare a list of the current assessments on all Township businesses and give them to Council to review so it can be determined what action Council wants to take.

In another matter, Smith and Hartswick agreed to share an appointment as Council's Representative to the IDA.

At 8:40 P.M., Council voted unanimously for Smith's motion to adjourn. Motion carried.

Respectfully submitted,

C. Thomas Lechner  
Secretary

CTL:bh

MEMORANDUM

TO: College Township Council  
FROM: Tom Lechner, Manager  
DATE: January 12, 1984  
SUBJECT: Recommended Amended Budget and Remarks

As directed by Council majority at their Budget Work Session of January 4, 1984, I have prepared an Amended Budget that: 1) eliminates a Mercantile Tax as a source of revenue (\$36,730) and a 2 Mil Tax increase on Real Estate (\$33,334), and 2) in addition, \$30,000 plus of expenditures were eliminated.

The proposed revisions disclose the changes from the proposed budget to the recommended amended budget by line item for both Revenues and Expenditures. The revenue changes, when isolating on the changes other than the elimination of the Mercantile Tax and the 2 Mil increase on Real Estate, actually reflects a decrease of \$3,600 chiefly a result of a mechanical error based on a 1982 projection for Earned Income Tax.

The revision to expenditures expresses most of the desires of the Council, except for the changing of the building entrance. This item has not been deleted since we are required to provide a handicapped ramp plus railing to this building. I don't want to duplicate many of those expenditures to provide a ramp to the front of the building and later provide another ramp when the West entrance will at a later date be more advisedly used as the main entrance when the work on the Bypass is completed. Included in this item cost, a night depository slot would be provided for taxpayers who aren't accommodated by 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. hours the office is open. Council's wages were eliminated and convention expenses were cut back to reflect only the cost for three attendees. Other wages and related fringe benefits in the Manager, Office Administration, and Tax Administration were cut back from 5.4% increase on 1983 budgeted wages to 4.8% on budgeted wages. The cost to provide a computer including hardware, software, and programming (\$10,000 plus \$500 for supplies) was eliminated. I feel very strongly that a computer will be needed, and to keep delaying the purchase of one is a deterrent to the efficiency of the tax and accounting procedures to provide current data. In its least terms, it should deter adding staff at a later time to provide this service. The purchase of a carpet for the Conference Room has been deleted; however, a used carpet has been donated during the interim.

Wages for temporary maintenance labor during the summer season have been eliminated. The affect of this labor will eliminate one of the projects involving taring and chipping. The other project eliminated is the outside paving contract (\$9,760) to do Squirrel Drive. Another decrease is in the amount of snow fence we'll buy in 1984.

TO: College Township Council  
DATE: January 12, 1984  
PAGE 2

Since the time the proposed budget was prepared, it was necessary to increase some expenditures as more information became available. The Lemont Water Company announced an increase in the charge for fire hydrant rentals; and a bid was received from Tel-Power for a new traffic controller at the Nittany Mall. Also, the cost to power traffic signals was increased to accommodate the addition of five (5) new traffic signal installations to be completed during 1984. Over-all, expenditures have been revised downward \$33,278. With this reduction and the changes in revenues, the net change in 1984 between revenues and expenditures actually is ZERO. The beginning cash balance projected at January 1, 1984 is \$58,810 which is an increase of \$27,000 over the previous January 1, 1983 due to unexpected actual receipt of revenues over the projected revenues. If there's no excess in 1984, and our cash remains at \$58,810 less a reserve for equipment purchases of \$9,631, the unappropriated fund equity will be \$49,179. Since the first three months don't produce enough revenue to cover anticipated linearized expenses for the first three months, the cash reserve during the month of March could be ZERO, necessitating a Tax Anticipation Loan.

To ward off the possibility of a Tax Anticipation Loan and enhancing the reserve for equipment on future purchases, I'm strongly encouraging the Council when amending the budget to increase the Real Estate Tax by adding One (1) Mil, 1/2 Mil directed to the Equipment Fund and 1/2 Mil to the General Fund. This would increase the liquid cash position to \$57,510 and the Equipment Fund reserve to \$17,965. Also, if the businessmen's pledges totalling \$10,000 or more are bona fide, let this money be used to help ease the cash flow. This pledge cannot be budgeted but I'd like the proceeds to be applied to maintenance projects or put towards a new parking lot on the West side of the Township Building which, if constructed at the time the Bypass contractor excavates to put in a new service road to the Township Building, could save the Township thousands of dollars as opposed to putting it in ourselves at a later date. A new parking lot could accommodate 32 parking places in addition to the 10 stalls on the South side of the Building.

I feel that the acceptance of this amended budget proposed is perhaps near-sighted and does not address the concerns I feel the Township will be saddled with in the next several years. My three-year forecast presented to you (prior Council Members) last spring gave you a clear indication that the Township would be burdened by substantial cost increases if the policy is pursued to keep service levels at its present pace, properly maintain Township roads, improve stormwater management programs, Capital Equipment purchases and updating equipment to keep pace with current demands.

As you're aware that my Staff and I have taken many measures to reduce and control costs without reducing service levels, and as employees we have produced greater results than in the past. Our Staff will continue to preserve these goals and will make every effort to enhance revenues and conserve on expenditures. Our Township is experiencing growing pains and we all have to grow with it together.

I'm very proud of College Township and will continue to pursue even greater accomplishments as your Administrator.

MANAGER'S UPDATE

COUNCIL DECEMBER 8, 1983 MEETING

1. In regard to the Penn Hills parking problem Mr. Kaiser and Mr. Lechner should study the area of Penn Hills and suggest places for parking control which can be incorporated into the Parking Ordinance when it is updated.

RESPONSE: Talked with Mr. Kaiser December 12, 1983 and agreed that a  
that a parking restriction could be imposed in the Penn Hills Area since  
our Ordinance allows parking only on one side with 24' curbed streets.

2. Also concerning the Penn Hills parking problem CATA and Mr. Lechner should formulate a recommended resolution concerning the traffic controls in this area which Council would consider at the January meeting.

RESPONSE: This item will be on the January 12, 1984 Regular Meeting

Agenda

3. The Planning Commission recommended that a more specific definition of the term "Family" be incorporated into the Zoning Ordinance with the recommended definition stated in an October 25, 1983 memo from Robert Watkins to the Planning Commission. This change could be handled at the regular January meeting. Advertise the Public Hearing.

RESPONSE: This item was consolidated into a list of several proposed  
amendments and will be advertised January 31, 1984, and put on the  
February 9, 1984 Regular Meeting Agenda.

MANAGER'S UPDATE

DECEMBER 22, 1983 MEETING

1. Advertise the Planning Commission's recommendations on Temporary Use Regulations which will be considered at the February regular meeting as an amendment to the Township's Zoning Ordinance.

RESPONSE: This Amendment will be advertised Tuesday, January 31, 1984

---

---

---

2. Supply Mrs. Taricani with the membership list of the Ad Hoc Tax Committee and set a date for the Committee to meet within two weeks of Christmas.

RESPONSE: This Committee was to initially meet 3:00 p.m. January 5, 1984.

However, this was subsequently changed to Noon on December 29, 1983. The

Committee appointed by Council consisted of George Milburn, Chris Exarchos,

William Peacock, Tom Lechner and Dolores Taricani as Acting Chairman.

MANAGER'S UPDATE

COUNCIL MEETING DECEMBER 29, 1983  
SPECIAL MEETING AND PUBLIC HEARING

1. Conditional approval of the Darlington Preliminary-Final Subdivision Plan was that the amount of money to be determined by the Township Staff in conjunction with PennDOT for the traffic light on the Benner Pike and Darlington Road Intersection, be deposited into an escrow account, and the ordinary Surety will meet with Council's approval, and the entrance intersection design meets with Township's approval.

RESPONSE: PennDOT Officials are planning to meet with all parties  
involved to discuss the intersection and driveway before any Surety  
can be determined.

2. It was recommended by Bailey that Council accept the Ad Hoc Committee's report but take no further action until after the first of the year.

No action by Manager required.

COLLEGE TOWNSHIP FINANCIAL REPORT

Cash Position for the 12 months ended 12/31, 1983

		<u>CURRENT</u> <u>MONTH</u>	<u>YEAR TO</u> <u>DATE</u>
<b>BEGINNING:</b>			
Cash	<u>5,336</u>		
Investments	<u>102,870</u>		
Accounts Receivable	<u>68</u>		
<u>LESS</u> Accounts Payable	<u>-0-</u>		
Fund Equity		<u>108,274</u>	
Revenues		<u>23,272</u>	<u>728,229</u>
Total Available for Appropriation		<u>131,546</u>	
<u>LESS</u> Expenditures		<u>72,726</u>	<u>702,299</u>
<b>ENDING:</b>			
Cash	<u>1,592</u>		
Investments	<u>72,652</u>		
Accounts Receivable	<u>165</u>		
<u>LESS</u> Accounts Payable	<u>15,599</u>		
<b>UNAPPROPRIATED FUND EQUITY</b>		<u><u>58,810</u></u>	

**COLLEGE TOWNSHIP FINANCIAL REPORT**  
**BUDGET AND ACTUAL APPROPRIATIONS - ALL FUNDS for the 12 months ended 12/31 1983**

CLASSIFICATION	1982		1983					
	BUDGET 1982	ACTUAL Y-T-D	BUDGET 1983	ACTUAL MONTH	ACTUAL Y-T-D	BUDGET REMAIN. for '83	PROJECT. REMAIN. for '83	ACTUAL + to '83 BUDGET
<b>ASSETS</b>								
100 Beginning Cash	\$ 52,394	\$ 52,394	\$ 31,485	\$ N/A	\$ 32,880	\$	\$	\$ 1,395
<b>REVENUES</b>								
300 Taxes:								
Property Tax	102,108	99,137	152,304	2,383	155,167			2,863
Local Enabling	283,000	287,088	312,000	5,607	307,756			(4,244)
320 Licenses & Permits	150	500	190	-0-	4,476			4,286
330 Fines & Forfeits	41,000	44,702	41,000	8,880	50,559			9,559
340 Interest & Rents:								
Interest	13,724	10,968	7,500	1,220	13,126			5,626
Rents	16,500	21,475	18,400	3,175	18,300			(100)
350 Intergovern. Revenues	149,397	140,182	137,659	-0-	137,413			(246)
360 Charges for Services	25,850	26,836	28,788	1,635	34,731			5,943
380 Miscellaneous	12,720	4,936	7,022	10	6,701			(321)
Total Revenues	\$ 644,449	\$ 635,624	\$ 704,863	\$ 23,272	\$ 728,229	\$	\$	\$ 23,366
<b>TOTAL AVAILABLE for APPROPRIATION</b>	<b>\$ 696,843</b>	<b>\$ 688,018</b>	<b>\$ 736,348</b>	<b>\$ N/A</b>	<b>\$ 761,109</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 24,761</b>
<b>EXPENDITURES</b>								
400 General Government:	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Administration	112,442	104,384	109,880	15,423	110,351			471
Tax Collection	33,094	37,822	41,355	5,611	43,273			1,918
410 Public Safety:								
Police	177,014	174,425	178,003	16,434	177,643			(360)
Fire	27,744	25,928	26,865	-0-	27,113			248
Planning & Zoning	20,000	26,327	27,225	1,312	27,866			641
420 Health & Welfare:								
Sanitation	1,500	740	1,000	(445)	715			(285)
430 Highways:								
General Maintenance	10,000	19,972	45,000	8,642	46,589			1,589
Snow Removal	23,000	33,442	24,770	9,416	17,437			(7,333)
Traffic Signals	3,500	5,400	5,800	1,039	5,064			(736)
Street Lights	7,800	8,101	8,760	699	8,487			(273)
Highway Maintenance	109,672	66,160	50,300	725	47,550			(2,750)
Construction Projects	27,000	26,013	40,790	10,392	54,905			14,115
440 Transportation:								
Transit System	11,000	11,480	18,168	-0-	16,818			(1,350)
450 Culture Recreation:								
Parks & Recreation	44,790	39,340	41,625	-0-	40,704			(921)
Libraries	22,172	22,172	24,889	-0-	24,888			(1)
Senior Citizens	5,000	7,003	6,171	-0-	2,653			(3,518)
470 Debt Service:								
Principal & Interest	17,077	18,564	17,262	1,011	17,082			(180)
480 Miscellaneous:								
Employee Benefits	32,843	22,184	37,000	2,467	33,161			(3,839)
Total Expenditures	\$ 685,648	\$ 649,457	\$ 704,863	\$ 72,726	\$ 702,299	\$	\$	\$ (2,564)
<b>REMAINDER for APPROPRIATION</b>	<b>\$ 11,195</b>	<b>\$ 38,561</b>	<b>\$ 31,485</b>	<b>\$ N/A</b>	<b>\$ 58,810</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 27,325</b>