



COLLEGE TOWNSHIP COUNCIL
REGULAR MEETING
JULY 10, 1980

A G E N D A

CALL TO ORDER

MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

UPDATE INFORMATION

TREASURER'S REPORT

MANAGER'S FINANCIAL REPORT

CORRESPONDENCE

1. Sen. J. Doyle Corman Jr.
2. Rep. Gregg L. Cunningham

BUSINESS

- Decibel Road - Stan Wilson, C-Cor
- John Swasy - Propose to Build Wall on Township Right-of-Way
3. Robinson Lot #87 - Oak Ridge Ave.
4. Lemont Post Office
5. Approve Tax Collection Agreement with State College Area School District
- Centre County 1980-81 3R Program
7. Traffic Signal at LR 56 - PA 26 (E. College Ave.), TR 363 (Gerald St.), and TR 380 (Struble Rd.)
8. Woskob - Development of Land along E. College Ave.
9. Bus Service to Mt. Nittany Residences
10. Appointment to Rental Housing Advisory Committee
11. Appointment to C.A.T.A. Board
1. Township Visitation Day
September 19, 1980

ANNOUNCEMENTS

OPEN DISCUSSION

ADJOURNMENT

COLLEGE TOWNSHIP COUNCIL
REGULAR MEETING
HELD JULY 10, 1980

Chairman Dean called the meeting to order at 7:32 p.m. in the College Township Municipal Building.

Members present: Donald E. Bailey, Gale L. Dargitz, J. Carroll Dean,
Herbert W. Stewart, and Dolores A. Taricani

Others present: Manager Elwood G. Williams, Sr.
Treasurer Robert L. Hayden

MINUTES

Minutes of June 12, 1980, Regular Meeting. Mrs. Taricani moved that the Minutes of the June 12, 1980, Meeting be approved as submitted. Mr. Stewart seconded the motion and it was carried unanimously.

UPDATE

1. Mr. Williams checked with Reed McCormick about a resolution to cover the change in mileage reimbursement from 17¢ per mile to 18-1/2¢ per mile. Mr. Williams reported that Mr. McCormick indicated he didn't think a resolution was required as long as the change was approved by Council and is a part of the Minutes of the meeting. Mr. McCormick was out of town at the time but would have a definite answer by Council's August meeting.

2. Relative to the resolution for the establishing of an educational service agency for special school police, upon his return Mr. McCormick will have it prepared for Council's August Meeting.

3. Mr. Williams talked to Ken Greider about Senate Bill 194 which is the Senate version of House Bill #1, calling for spending limits at the State and Local levels. Mr. Greider informed Mr. Williams that Senate Bill 194 has now become Senate Bill 982 as Amended but still includes the same spending limits as House Bill #1 with a lot of Amendments added to it.

4. Mr. Dean sent letters to Mr. Corman and Mr. Cunningham asking them to support House Bill 2353.

5. Mr. Williams reported the School Crossing Guards are classified as non-uniformed municipal employees on the Township's Workmen's Compensation policy.

TREASURER'S REPORT

Robert L. Hayden, Treasurer, reviewed the information in the Treasurer's Report for June, 1980.

Mr. Bailey moved that the Treasurer's Report for June be accepted. Mrs. Taricani seconded the motion and it was carried unanimously.

Council reviewed the sample tax payment compliance letter that had been prepared by the Township Solicitor. Mrs. Taricani indicated she felt the language in the second paragraph relating to penalties, costs, etc. of collection should be stronger than "may".

Mrs. Taricani moved that the sample tax payment compliance letter be sent to all tax payers who are not complying with this particular section of the Township ordinance and resolution with the change that the second paragraph, last sentence be changed to read "penalty and costs of collection will be imposed". Mr. Bailey seconded the motion and it was carried unanimously.

MANAGER'S FINANCIAL REPORT

Council discussed the Manager's Financial Report for the first six months of 1980. Mr. Williams provided explanation on the various line items questioned. Mr. Dargitz suggested that Council take a month to thoroughly study the report and discuss it at their August meeting. Additionally, Mr. Dargitz suggested that Mr. Williams comment on items in the report that are not following a normal progression.

Mrs. Taricani asked why there was no budgeted amount for the ConRail lease. Mr. Williams felt this was an oversight which will be checked and corrected.

The total projected revenues and expenditures were discussed. Mr. Dargitz expressed his concern about the budget being so close as to only project an approximate \$5,300 balance to be carried forward.

Council was in agreement that additional time was needed to study the report and Chairman Dean asked Mr. Williams to put the report on the Agenda for Council's August meeting.

Mrs. Taricani and Chairman Dean complimented Mr. Williams and Mrs. Houser on the preparation of the report.

CORRESPONDENCE

1. June 30, 1980, letter from J. Doyle Conman Jr., in response to the Township letter regarding his support of House Bill 2353.
2. July 1, 1980, letter from Gregg L. Cunningham in response to the Township's letter regarding his support of House Bill 2353.

BUSINESS

1. John Swasy — Proposal to build wall on Township Right-of-Way.
Mr. Swasy who lives at 154 Villa Crest Drive explained to Council that a steep bank is in front of their property and they proposed to cut back approximately six feet of the bank and build a retaining wall to stop erosion and simplify the upkeep of the bank. He presented a diagram of the project and a photograph of the present situation pointing out that some of the cut had already been made inasmuch as they had started the project before the Township Manager brought it to their attention they needed permission to build anything in the Township's right-of-way.

Mrs. Taricani had upon the landowners request reviewed the situation. She felt the proposed project would at least give more room to park cars off the street. Mr. Williams pointed out that the six feet distance between the pavement and the proposed wall would not be sufficient to park vehicles off the street.

Mr. Williams expressed his concern that anything built in the right-of-way was the Township's responsibility and should that portion of road be widened the wall would have to be removed at cost to the Township. Mr. Swasy indicated he understood that should the road be widened, the wall would be eliminated and he would have no recourse for obtaining payment from the Township for the wall.

Mr. Williams also expressed his concern about the Township's liability in the event someone would hit the wall.

Chairman Dean felt that the proposed project would improve the present situation of the steep bank but was concerned also about the Township's liability. He asked Mr. Williams to check with Reed McCormick on the Township's liability in this matter.

Mr. Stewart moved that Mr. Swasy be allowed to continue the construction of the wall contingent upon the Township Solicitor ruling that the Township would not be liable for any accident involving the wall.

Discussion was held on the danger of the wall as opposed to that of the bank or rock and on the maintaining of the area that is in the Township's right-of-way.

The motion was carried with Mr. Dargitz abstaining from voting inasmuch as he came in during the discussion of this item.

Chairman Dean told Mr. Swasy that Mr. Williams would contact him when an answer was received from the Township Solicitor. Chairman Dean recommended that Mr. Swasy talk to the Zoning Officer about a building permit for the proposed project.

2. Robinson Lot #87, Oak Ridge Avenue. Chairman Dean explained that at Council's November 1979 Meeting, Council had discussed with Mr. & Mrs. Gordon E. Robinson the possibility of purchasing a lot they own on Oak Ridge Avenue, which is adjacent to their home, to provide access on the easterly end of the Vallamont Subdivision which now has only a single access opening onto University Drive. Council had discussed purchasing the entire lot for the purpose of obtaining a sixty foot right-of-way needed for the access road and deeding the remainder of the lot back to the Robinsons.

Mr. & Mrs. Robinson had the lot appraised by William H. Leonard for \$22,000 to \$25,000 and extended an offer of sale for the lot in question to the Township for \$24,000 in their letter of July 7, 1980 to Elwood Williams.

Mr. Bailey asked if the price for the lot was based on selling the Township the entire lot and then deeding back the remainder at nothing. Chairman Dean indicated that was the way PennDOT did it.

Mr. Robinson was present and explained the reasons the lot was purchased were to protect one side of his home and as an investment. As he discussed with Council at their November meeting, the only way he was interested in selling was if he could still have the protection of the home and not lose on the investment. The appraisal of the lot was between \$22,000 and \$25,000 and Mr. Robinson felt \$24,000 was a fair market value.

Mr. Bailey asked if he meant the entire lot to be sold at \$24,000 and the remainder not used for the right-of-way deeded back to him at no cost to him. Mr. Robinson indicated this was his intention.

~~Mr. Stewart~~ if there was any other site that could be used as the second access to this subdivision. Mrs. Taricani explained that this question had been researched thoroughly and the Robinsons' lot was the only feasible option. Chairman Dean added that although the Township's philosophy is to have more than one access to large developments, the Township could not prevent a landowner from developing his property when only one access is proposed.

Chairman Dean indicated that the Township also had the lot appraised. Mr. Williams reported the lot was appraised at \$19,500 by Robert Ishler.

Mr. Dargitz pointed out that the Robinsons do not have to sell their lot but that the portion of the lot deeded back to them would increase the value of their property.

Mr. Robinson indicated that if the Council wished to make a counter-offer they would consider it but that he was not sure in his own mind that he wanted to sell the lot and have a road beside his home.

Mr. Dargitz asked if the people in the subdivision had requested a second access. Chairman Dean indicated that Council had seen the preliminary plans for the subdivision and wanted to plan ahead for this access. Discussion was held on other options for the second access and on the possibility of the developer purchasing the property for the second access. Chairman Dean indicated the developer, H. O. Smith, had indicated he would pave the road for the access but did not express a willingness to purchase the right-of-way.

Council discussed the desirability of having a second access, the fact that they cannot require the property owner to have a second access; the need for planning ahead for situations of this kind; the fact that this access would provide a second access for the Oak Ridge Avenue area; also, the advantages of having this second access when the Township needs to get to the streets of Vallamont for maintenance and snow removal.

Mr. Dargitz suggested that Council delay action on this item to enable them to study the offer further and the possibility of a counter-offer. Mr. & Mrs. Robinson agreed that their offer would remain in effect for sixty days.

A member of the audience questioned the benefit of providing the second access and indicated he felt the proposed price of \$24,000 was high for the portion of the lot that would actually be used for the right-of-way.

Mr. Robinson reiterated that he was not truly wanting to sell this lot and that he had not initiated action to sell it; he had been approached by the developer asking him to consider selling the lot for the proposed access.

Mrs. Taricani explained the need for forward-looking planning in developing subdivisions and suggested that action on this matter be postponed. She suggested that Council accept the Robinson offer to extend their offer of sale for sixty days for Lot #87, Oak Ridge Avenue. At the end of the sixty days, Council will respond to that offer. Council was in agreement that action should be delayed and the extension of sixty days accepted.

4. Lemont Post Office. Chairman Dean said that on June 19, 1980, at 11:00 a.m. there had been a meeting called by Mr. Kenyon of the Postal Service to discuss the Lemont Post Office issue. Included in that meeting was Mr. Williams of ConRail. At this meeting Mr. Kenyon backed away from his position of last December in which he suggested that the Postal Service might purchase the land from ConRail. ConRail was not opposed to this proposition. Both Mr. Kenyon and Mr. Williams indicated they wanted the Township to retain its lease on the ConRail property; Mr. Kenyon was more in favor of the Township contracting for the construction of a building that could be leased to the Postal Service. It was learned at the meeting that an additional track would be removed from the property providing an additional 1/10 - 2/10 acre; Mr. Williams agreed to do this at the same lease price. Mr. Williams also indicated that there would be no problem in rewriting that portion of the lease which stated the Township could not sublease the property.

Chairman Dean indicated that the land had been resurveyed and a copy sent to the Postal Service.

Mr. Stewart said that Mr. Williams had indicated he would sell the property. When asked the selling price, Mr. Williams indicated fifty (50%) percent of the total lease. Discussion followed on what was meant by total lease.

Mr. Bailey suggested that Council proceed by gathering information on the costs involved in constructing a building on the ConRail site which would house

the post office and also possibly a civic center, meeting rooms, and/or facilities to house Township Offices should the bypass take the present Township Municipal Building.

Mrs. Taricani said the first thing that was needed was something in writing from Mr. Kenyon telling the Township precisely what the Postal Service wanted.

Chairman Dean said that Mr. Kenyon had said for the Township to make a proposal. Chairman Dean indicated that if the Township decides to proceed that a cash flow allowance for the proposition should be prepared and an offer prepared and sent to the Postal Service. In the meantime, the new survey of the property has been sent to Mr. Kenyon and Mr. Kenyon is to send a new site plan.

Warren Smith, President of the Concerned Citizens of Lemont, indicated they had met recently. The CCL was in favor of the open space in Lemont, the ConRail site, being under public control, encouraged Council to move forward on the post office issue, and suggested that if Council was not interested in being in the real estate business that the control of the post office building be turned over to a public group.

Mr. Kenyon had indicated to Chairman Dean that the Postal Service can deal with the Township on a non-competitive basis whereas if they go to any other than a governmental body they must do it on the basis of competition and award. Mr. Kenyon inferred he would prefer to entertain the Township's proposal.

Mrs. Taricani indicated that more information was needed on financing, authorities/boards, most efficient structure, etc., before proceeding with this issue.

Chairman Dean asked the Ad Hoc Committee on the Post Office Issue, consisting of Mrs. Taricani, Warren Smith, and John Olivero, to meet with Reed McCormick to discuss the advantages and disadvantages of going with an authority or trying to proceed with the post office as a township project. Additionally, they should contact anyone else that may be able to provide information on this issue.

Mr. Dargitz expressed a desire to have additional information on the "public group" that the CCL suggested the post office building could be turned over to.

Mr. Olivero expressed his concern that the matters discussed in meetings, phone calls, and conversations regarding the post office were mostly verbal and subject to individual interpretation at a later date. He suggested that Council document in writing the matters discussed, decisions made, understandings arrived at, and planned action for all meetings, phone calls, and discussions concerning the post office issue with copies sent to Mr. Kenyon and Mr. Williams.

Council felt this was a good suggestion and Chairman Dean indicated he would meet with Mrs. Taricani to draft a letter to be sent under her signature as Chairman of the Ad Hoc Committee on the discussion of the post office issue at the meeting.

There was concern from members of the audience that the gathering of the information would be a lengthy project and coupled with the monthly meeting schedule of Council, would delay communication with the Postal Service. Chairman Dean indicated that the documentations would be prepared as soon as the draft of the minutes of the meeting was received and that Council would be willing to meet outside of their normal meeting schedule anytime there was a need.

5. Tax Collection Agreement with State College Area School District. Mr. Williams reported that Mr. Hayden and he had met with Messrs. Moyer, Babcock, and Doty to discuss the Tax Agreement. An increase to 3-1/2% for the collection of Occupational Assessment Taxes and an increase of 1/2% to 5% for collection of Earned Income Taxes were agreed upon. Mr. Williams proposed that Council accept the Tax Collection Agreement.

Chairman Dean asked Mr. Williams to bring up the matter of changes in compensation rate for collection of taxes for the School District for discussion in Mid-January, 1981.

Mr. Bailey moved that Council accept the Tax Collection Agreement with the State College Area School District for the 1980-81 fiscal year. Mr. Dargitz seconded the motion.

Jeff Bower indicated that requests for changes to the tax collection agreement for the County have already been sent to the various municipalities.

The motion to accept the Tax Collection Agreement was carried unanimously.

6. Centre County 1980-81 3R Program. Mr. Williams reported this particular 3R Program involves East Branch Road as outlined in the June 30, 1980 letter from Thomas Ickes of the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation. The program was discussed at a ~~meeting~~ CRATS meeting. Some of the residents living along Branch Road objected to the project because of their concern about the increase in traffic and speed of traffic. It was suggested at the CRATS meeting that College Township Council's action on this project be delayed until a meeting is held on this project involving PennDOT, Council, and concerned citizens.

Mr. Williams explained that the proposal included widening of the road to 22 feet, upgrading the shoulders, redoing guard rails as needed; however, the project does not include upgrading of the bridges.

Chairman Dean asked what the widening would do to the shoulders. Mr. Williams indicated it was his understanding that the berm would be more narrow in some places, that no additional right-of-way would be acquired.

Jeff Bower indicated that in his draft of the project it was indicated that additional right-of-way would be acquired.

Chairman Dean asked if it would be possible to approve the project but eliminate the element calling for widening of the road. Mr. Williams said PennDOT had indicated they would do whatever the Township wanted but he felt the additional width would lessen the deterioration of the edges of the road.

Discussion was held on the advantages and disadvantages of widening the road, with a few members of the audience voicing their individual objections to the project.

Council agreed to table action on this item until after the meeting with PennDOT officials.

7. Traffic Signal at East College Avenue, Gerald Street, and Struble Road. Chairman Dean explained the Township had requested a survey of traffic at this intersection and the survey indicated that a traffic signal was warranted. The Township has an agreement with Pat Houser, developer of the Commercial Park on the Gerald Street side of College Avenue, to participate in the cost of the light. Chairman Dean asked Mr. Williams to research the files and try to find information that would obligate the industrial developers on Struble Road to

participate in the cost of the light. Mr. Williams indicated he had talked with several of the business owners and they asked how the Township was going to participate. Chairman Dean indicated the Township would be maintaining the light for the years to come.

Mr. Williams also indicated that this light had been moved up to second on the CRATS Priority List. The bikeway has been moved to sixth on that list. Federal Urban Assistance monies are not available for the hike-bikeway but there was strong State support for the bikeway.

Discussion was held on the need for a light at the intersection of East Branch Road and Route 322. Mr. Williams informed the members of the audience that this intersection was in the Borough of State College.

8. Mr. Woskob -- Developing of Land along East College Avenue.
Mr. Woskob, who owns four acres of land across East College Avenue from the Nittany Mall next to Nease Chemical, requested that the zoning for his property be changed from Industrial to Commercial. Mr. Woskob had held a license to operate a TV station he had planned to put on the site. He has recently given up this license and considered building a gasohol plant on the site. Mr. Woskob did not think the gasohol plant would be in the best interest of the community and would like to have the land rezoned to permit him to build a furniture outlet and warehouse or warehouse with sales.

Chairman Dean explained that just to rezone his property would be spot-zoning and was not considered a desirable zoning change. Chairman Dean suggested that possibly an amendment to the Zoning Ordinance permitting certain types of commercial establishments in an Industrial Zone could be an alternative.

Council was in agreement that the suggestion of amending the Zoning Ordinance should be pursued. Chairman Dean requested Mr. Williams to ask the Planning Commission how they felt about amending the Zoning Ordinance to permit certain types of commercial establishments in an Industrial Zone.

9. Bus Service to Mt. Nittany Residences. Mr. Williams explained he had received some calls from individuals who will be moving into the Residences expressing their concern that no transportation would be available to the shopping center. Upon checking with CATA, Mr. Williams was informed that bus service to the Residences was not financially feasible and upon checking with

I.D.A. found they do not have a definite plan for this transportation at this time..

Council was concerned that CATA had not given a more positive response inasmuch as they indicated their support when the plans for the Residences were being developed.

Chairman Dean felt the transportation for the residents was the responsibility of I.D.A. and asked Mr. Williams to contact the Executive Director in Altoona pointing out they agreed to provide transportation to the shopping center when the plans for the Residences were approved, and requesting that they inform the residents of their plans to provide transportation to alleviate the worries these people have.

10. Appointment to Rental Housing Advisory Committee. Mr. Williams indicated the only suggestion he had for this committee was Thora Hardy. The Concerned Citizens of Lemont had no suggestions. Chairman Dean indicated that David Fryer had volunteered to serve on any committees and asked Mr. Williams to contact Mrs. Hardy and Mr. Fryer to determine their willingness to serve on the Rental Housing Advisory Committee. Mr. Williams was requested to put this on the Agenda for the August meeting.

11. Appointment to CATA Board. The Concerned Citizens of Lemont had no nominees. Mr. James L. McDonel was present and volunteered to serve as the College Township Representative on the CATA Board. Mr. McDonel has been Assistant Professor in Microbiology at Penn State University since 1976. Although he has not had any directly related experience with public transportation or serving on boards or authorities, he expressed an interest in public transportation and the desire to become involved in its management. He has experience in budgeting and accounting for large sums of money.

Mr. Dargitz was concerned that all the members of the Board have been associated with the University and felt the perspective of the business community should be included. Mr. Dargitz indicated he would like to have more time to see who else might be interested in serving on the CATA Board.

Mrs. Taricani suggested that inasmuch as the Township does not have an updated list of volunteers that an ad be placed in the Centre Daily Times to the effect that "College Township Council would like to hear from any residents

who are interested in serving on the CATA Board or the Rental Housing Advisory Committee. For further information call (the Township Office's number). Council was in favor of this suggestion and Chairman Dean asked Mr. Williams to take care of having the ad placed in the paper.

12. Sign Ordinance. Mrs. Taricani reported she had reviewed the overall procedure for sign review indicating that College Township has a Sign Ordinance which calls for a five-man Review Board that makes recommendations on signs to the Zoning Hearing Board. In recent years a Sign Review Board has not been appointed and the Zoning Hearing Board has taken over hearing the appeals to the Sign Ordinance. Recently, the Zoning Hearing Board has been of the opinion that reviewing these appeals to the Sign Ordinance is not under their jurisdiction, legally they are correct. It was suggested that the Zoning Hearing Board be appointed as the Sign Review Board until the matter of the Sign Ordinance and the Sign Review Board could be reworked. The Zoning Hearing Board was not receptive to this idea. Council asked Mrs. Taricani to review the questions of the Sign Review Board and Sign Ordinance.

Mrs. Taricani recommended that:

"At your request I have reviewed the Sign Ordinance and the appeal procedure (Sign Review Board). As you may remember some time ago I prepared a revised Sign Ordinance. Later, our Zoning Officer, John Grinder, reviewed my draft and submitted several changes to my draft. The changes made by Mr. Grinder concerned wall sign size and projecting sign regulations.

For this discussion, I will designate my draft as Draft A and Mr. Grinder's as Draft B. It is my feeling that the Township should adopt Draft B after consideration by the Township Planning Commission. However, the format and numerical system should be simplified as in Draft A.

Further, I feel that the Sign Ordinance should be separate from the Zoning Ordinance. Since our present Zoning Hearing Board does not want to be involved in a decision-making process which is subjective, I feel that the new Sign Ordinance should have an appeal procedure to a three member Sign Review Board. This Board should have the power to decide all sign appeals without the Zoning Hearing Board. Appeal from decisions of the Sign Review Board should come directly to Council. There is no legal problem and the new Board would act as Council's representative in matters concerning signs."

Mrs. Taricani said that the Planning Commission had been asked to look at the Sign Ordinance. Their recommendation was that the Sign Ordinance be separate from the Zoning Ordinance but suggested that the Township wait for the Centre Regional Planning Commission to do it. Mrs. Taricani was of the opinion that the Centre Regional Planning Commission was not going to act on this matter in the near future and felt that College Township had to do something to bring themselves into compliance with their own ordinance, i.e., amend present ordinance to provide for a three-man Sign Review Board with appeal to Council or appoint individuals to the Board as called for under the present Ordinance.

Virginia Eisenstein commented on her work with State College Borough relative to their Sign Ordinance and pointed out that the portion of the drafted College Township Sign Ordinance dealing with non-conforming signs could be legally questioned.

Chairman Dean indicated that the Planning Commission had suggested sending the drafts and background information on the Sign Ordinance to Dennis Elpern, of Centre Regional Planning Commission, for his review. If this review had not been designated as in Mr. Elpern's scope of work, he would not be able to do the review.

Mrs. Taricani said she knew of nothing that had been agreed upon that would permit Mr. Elpern to work on these drafts but felt it was important to get the Sign Ordinance amended as soon as possible to allow for the three-man Review Board with appeal to Council. Mrs. Taricani suggested that the drafts of the Sign Ordinance be passed along to Ms. Eisenstein for her comments and expressed interest in having copies of any comments Ms. Eisenstein has concerning Sign Ordinances.

Mrs. Taricani indicated that John Olivero has agreed to serve on a Sign Review Board and suggested that the Sign Review Board be added to the ad to be placed in the paper for volunteers to serve on the Rental Housing Advisory Committee and C.A.T.A. Board. Chairman Dean asked Mr. Williams to see that the Sign Review Board was added to the ad.

Council felt that something needed to be done to proceed with the revision of the Sign Ordinance and that the Township Solicitor should comment on the drafts

of the Sign Ordinance. Mrs. Taricani indicated she would like to see the comments of Ms. Eisenstein on the other draft. After this, she would get the drafts together and send them to Ms. Eisenstein for her comments and at the same time work on getting things together for discussion by Council, taking questions on the matter to Mr. McCormick as she determined it was needed.

Mr. Dargitz moved that Mrs. Taricani proceed with the revision of the Sign Ordinance as she proposed going to the Township Solicitor as she determines it is needed. Mr. Bailey seconded the motion and it was carried unanimously.

13. Water Study. Chairman Dean said that the final report on Phase I of the Water Study had been received approximately two months ago. The Council has 160 days from the date of receipt of the report to decide whether or not to proceed with the second phase.

Mr. Stewart moved that Council not proceed with Phase II of the Water Study. Mrs. Taricani seconded the motion.

Chairman Dean explained that when the specifications were prepared for the Water Study, they were prepared in two phases: 1. To provide information and make recommendations; and 2. Proceed with preliminary budgetary type estimates toward implementing recommendations. Two time periods were addressed, near and distant future. The recommendations from Phase I were of two types: no serious concerns about minor problems that could be corrected by the existing operating companies; those recommendations that were of a serious nature and being addressed by the supplier, Lemont Water Company, who is presently seeking financing for correction and expansion costs. As a result of Phase I, Chairman Dean indicated Council did not see a need to proceed with Phase II.

Mr. Dargitz asked what would happen if the plans of the Lemont Water Company did not come to fruition, could Council then proceed with Phase II.

Chairman Dean explained that Council had 160 days to decide whether or not to proceed with Phase II. If they felt they wanted to wait until closer the end of the 160 days to see how the Lemont Water Company was progressing on their plans for financing, then the decision on the report for Phase I of the Water Study should be postponed.

Council felt it would be better to wait and review the status of the Lemont Water Company's request for financing before making a final decision on the Final Report of Phase I of the Water Study. Mr. Williams was asked to get information from the Lemont Water Company on the status of their request for financing for Council's consideration at their August meeting. Mr. Stewart withdrew his motion.

There were questions and discussion from the audience on the floridation of the water.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

Visitation Day at Richland Township, Cambria County, September 19, 1980. Council approved Donald Bailey and Mr. Williams' attending Visitation Day if their schedules permit.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. Dargitz moved the meeting be adjourned, Mr. Bailey seconded the motion and it was carried unanimously.

The meeting was adjourned at 10:42 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,
Elwood G. Williams, Sr.
Secretary

EGW:lf:hh

COLLEGE TOWNSHIP

TREASURER'S REPORT

INDEX

JUNE, 1980

DESCRIPTION

General Fund - Receipts & Expenditures

Township Funds - Cash & Investments

REFERENCE

Schedule I

Schedule II

Schedule 1

COLLEGE TOWNSHIP

TREASURER'S REPORT

GENERAL FUND - RECEIPTS & EXPENDITURES

JUNE, 1980

COLLEGE TOWNSHIP

TREASURER'S REPORT

CASH & INVESTMENTS

JUNE, 1980

	GENERAL	STREET LIGHT	EQUIPMENT	PARKS & REC.	STATE	REVENUE SHARE
I. CASH:						
Checking Account	\$ 503 ^c	\$ 892	\$ 20	\$ 38	\$ 115	\$ 185
II. INVESTED:						
A. Daily Interest - 5.25% Annual	17,387	5,298	23,304	14,943	18,730	13,514
B. 90 Day Certificate - 5.5% Annual	10,000 ^a		10,000 ^a		20,000 ^b	10,000 ^a
C. 6 Month Money Market Cert.						
TOTAL FUNDS @ JUNE	\$ 27,890	\$ 6,190	\$ 33,324	\$ 14,981	\$ 38,845	\$ 23,699
PREVIOUS MONTH	\$ 21,365	\$ 6,564	\$ 32,872	\$ 14,537	\$ 40,356	\$ 28,944
CASH	\$ 1,753					
INVESTED	\$ 143,176					
TOTAL	\$ 144,929					
PREVIOUS MONTH	\$ 144,588					

NOTES:

- I. Maturity dates of certificates of deposits:
 - a) October 22, 1980 - Interest Rate 13.549%
 - b) October 8, 1980 - Interest Rate 14.804%

- II. General Fund Checking:
 - c) Includes \$100.00 in payroll account

COLLEGE TOWNSHIP
FINANCIAL REPORT

FUND: General
AS OF: June 30, 1980

CHECKING ACCOUNT BALANCE: \$ 402.81
SAVINGS ACCOUNT BALANCE: \$ 17,308.94
CERTIFICATE OF DEPOSIT: \$ 10,000.00

R E V E N U E S

ACCT. NO.	DESCRIPTION	CURRENT MONTH	YEAR TO DATE	BALANCE	BUDGET
105.00	Payroll Fund				\$ 100.00
110.00	Petty Cash				200.00
210-219	Payroll Withholdings	1,907.77	12,855.40	13,144.60	26,000.00
300.01	Real Estate Taxes	864.73	32,503.15	8,442.88	42,000.00
300.02	Prior Year's Real Estate	-	750.00		-
300.03	Delinq. Real Estate	-	303.97		-
310.03	Real Estate Transfer	2,373.87	11,757.74	13,242.26	25,000.00
310.04	Earned Income	14,472.47	92,383.78	87,616.22	180,000.00
310.09	Occupational Privilege	1,200.00	25,200.00	800.00	26,000.00
320.07	Zoning Permits & Fees	387.25	2,303.10	1,696.90	4,000.00
330.01	FINES: Motor Vehicle	2,733.41	19,315.29	19,300.51	40,000.00
330.02	Ordinance	102.00	1,384.20		
340.01	Interest & Special Assessments	115.07	3,333.06	6,666.94	10,000.00
340.02	Rent of Building				-
350.01	Payment in Lieu of Taxes (Forest & Public Utilities)	76.32	76.32	7,457.68	7,534.00
350.03	County Grant (& Snow Removal)	-	2,197.70	12,202.30	14,400.00
350.04	Firemen's Relief Fund	-	-	2,300.00	2,300.00
360.13	Commissions: Centre County	2,124.91	2,291.16	(291.16)	2,000.00
	School District	1,847.95	5,053.24	9,946.76	15,000.00
370.04	Miscellaneous	12.37	1,122.30	2,877.70	4,000.00
	Reimburse. of School Crossing Guards	-	-	3,500.00	3,500.00
TOTAL REVENUES:		28,218.12	212,830.41	188,903.59	401,734.00
Balance carried Forward:					\$ 23,668.88
					\$ 425,402.88

COLLEGE TOWNSHIP
FINANCIAL REPORT

FUND: GENERAL
AS OF: June 30, 1980

E X P E N D I T U R E S

ACCOUNT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	CURRENT MONTH	YEAR TO DATE	BUDGET BALANCE	BUDGET
400.00	Administration (Incl. Controller)	5,711.48	37,483.71	30,416.29	\$ 67,900.00
401.00	Tax/Treasurer's Office	2,743.49	15,853.82	12,364.18	28,218.00
402.00	Municipal Building	1,551.42	6,390.50	1,609.50	8,000.00
410.00	Police Protection, Dog Enforcement Officer & School Crossing Guards	1,020.00	61,564.91	50,264.09	111,829.00
413.00	Fire Protection & Hydrant Rental	-	10,096.10	1,571.90	11,668.00
416.00	Building Regulations, Zoning & Planning	967.50	9,479.82	7,029.18	16,509.00
425.27	Sanitary Landfill	280.87	288.15	711.85	1,000.00
428.00	Sewage Enforcement Officer	335.00	652.50	347.50	1,000.00
432.00	Snow & Ice Removal	-	4,726.84	8,273.16	13,000.00
436.00 & 439.00	Storm Sewer Maintenance	340.20	1,118.20	(118.20)	1,000.00
438.00	Maintenance, Repairs, Const.	3,827.88	23,789.39	26,210.51	50,000.00
433.00	Street Signs, Markings, & Tr. Signals	336.29	1,810.89	1,189.11	3,000.00
437.00	Repairs to Tools & Machinery	220.06	3,515.70	3,484.30	7,000.00
440. & 451.	Library, Parks & Recreation	-	9,024.19	7,841.81	16,866.00
470.00	Employees Benefits	1,492.42	7,299.79	54,700.21	62,000.00
471.00	Miscellaneous & Cont. Fund	-	-	10,000.00	10,000.00
489.00	Authority Rentals (Lease from ConRail)	-	1,800.00	(1,800.00)	-
	Water Study	1,080.58	1,080.58	9,919.42	10,000.00
	Energy Conservation	-	-	1,061.00	1,061.00
TOTAL EXPENDITURES:		19,906.53	195,975.19	224,075.81	420,051.00
Balance carried Forward:					5,351.88
					\$425,402.88

COLLEGE TOWNSHIP
FINANCIAL REPORT

FUND: STATE

AS OF: May 31, 1980

EXPENDITURES

ACCOUNT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	CURRENT MONTH	YEAR-TO-DATE	BUDGET BALANCE	BUDGET
432.00	Snow & Ice Removal	\$ -0-	\$ 6,599.19	\$ 5,400.81	\$12,000.00
437.00	Repairs to Tools & Machinery	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 1,000.00
438.00	Maintenance, Repairs & Const.	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	\$33,991.80	\$33,991.80
TK	EXPENDITURES:	\$ -0-	\$ 6,599.19	\$40,392.61	\$46,991.80
TOTAL TRANSFERS FROM SAVINGS		\$	\$	\$	\$
490.00	Transfers to Other Funds	\$	\$ 5,000.00		

FUND: STATE
 AS OF: May 31, 1980

CHECKING ACCOUNT BALANCE: \$ 130.71
 SAVINGS ACCOUNT BALANCE: \$ 20,224.59
 CERTIFICATES OF DEPOSIT: \$ 20,000.00
 (#s: 92708 and 92709)

REVENUES

ACCOUNT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	CURRENT MONTH	YEAR-TO-DATE	BUDGET BALANCE	BUDGET
	Balance from Prior Year		\$ 2,212.69	\$ -0-	\$ 2,212.69
340.01	Interest	\$ -0-	\$ 107.39	\$ (107.39)	\$ -
50.02	Liquid Fuel Tax	\$ -0-	\$ 44,722.80	\$ 56.31	\$ 44,779.11
TOTAL REVENUES:		\$ -0-	\$ 47,042.88	\$ (51.08)	\$ 46,991.80
30.00	Transfers from Other Funds	\$	\$	\$	\$
	SAVINGS ACCOUNT DEPOSITS	\$	\$	\$	\$
	CERTIFICATES OF DEPOSIT				

**COLLEGE TOWNSHIP
FINANCIAL REPORT**

FUND: REVENUE SHARING
AS OF: June 30 , 19 80

CHECKING ACCOUNT BALANCE: \$ 185.31
SAVINGS ACCOUNT BALANCE: \$ 13,267.37
CERTIFICATES OF DEPOSIT: \$ 10,000.00

REVENUES

Account Number	Description	Current Month	Year-to-Date	Budget Balance	Budget
	Balance from Prior Year	\$	\$ 23,027.42	\$ 0	\$ 23,027.42
	Grants - Federal	\$ -	\$ 22,836.00	\$ 22,839.00	\$ 45,675.00
340.01	Interest	\$ 246.88	\$ 1,314.30	\$ (1,314.30)	\$
TOTAL REVENUES:		\$ 246.88	\$ 47,177.72	\$ 21,524.70	\$ 68,702.42
390.00	Transfers from Other Funds	\$	\$	\$	\$
	Savings Account Deposits	\$	\$	\$	\$
	Certificates of Deposit	\$	\$	\$	\$

**COLLEGE TOWNSHIP
FINANCIAL REPORT**

FUND: REVENUE SHARING

AS OF: June 30, 19 80

EXPENDITURES

Account Number	Description	Current Month	Year-to Date	Budget Balance	Budget
100.00	Administration	\$ -	\$ 80.00	\$ 120.42	\$ 200.42
102.00	Municipal Building	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 2,000.00
110.20	Police Protection, Dog Enforcement Officer, & Crossing Guards	\$ -	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	\$30,000.00
113.80	Capital Outlay - Fire Protection	\$ -	\$ 1,420.00	\$ 1,420.00	\$ 2,840.00
113.30	Fire Protection & Hydrant Rental	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
116.00	Building Regulations, Zoning & Planning	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
136.00 & 139.00	Storm Sewer Maintenance	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
140.00 & 153.00	Libraries (Schlow & Centre Co.)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,200.00	\$ 1,200.00
151.00 - 153.00	Parks & Recreation & Swimming Pools	\$ -	\$ 2,044.54	\$ 2,043.46	\$ 4,088.00
164.00	Regional CATA (Transp. & Bus Auth.)	\$ -	\$ 4,313.00	\$16,469.00	\$20,782.00
171.00	Miscellaneous: Youth Services & Senior Citizens	\$ -	\$ 128.62	\$ 1,971.38	\$ 2,100.00
	Water Study	\$ 5,492.00	\$ 5,492.00	\$ -	\$ 5,492.00
TOTAL EXPENDITURES:		\$ 5,492.00	\$ 23,478.16	\$45,224.26	\$68,702.42
Total Transfers from Savings:		\$	\$	\$	\$

**COLLEGE TOWNSHIP
FINANCIAL REPORT**

FUND: EQUIPMENT

AS OF: June 30, 19 80

CHECKING ACCOUNT BALANCE: \$ 20.28

SAVINGS ACCOUNT BALANCE: \$ 23,204.90

REVENUES

Account Number	Description	current Month	Year-to Date	Budget Balance	Budget
	Balance from Prior Year		\$ 20,866.82	\$	\$20,866.82
300.01	Real Estate Taxes	\$ 288.26	\$ 11,063.21	\$ 2,832.79	\$13,896.00
340.01	Interest	\$ 115.07	\$ 586.07	\$ 113.93	\$ 700.00
370.04	Miscellaneous	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
TOTAL RECEIPTS AND BALANCE		\$ 403.33	\$ 32,516.10	\$ 2,946.72	\$ 35,462.82
390.00	Transfers from Other Funds	\$	\$	\$	\$
	Savings Account Deposits	\$	\$	\$	\$
	Certificates of Deposit	\$	\$	\$10,000.00	\$

COLLEGE TOWNSHIP
FINANCIAL REPORT

FUND: EQUIPMENT

AS OF: June 30, 19 80

EXPENDITURES

ACCOUNT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	CURRENT MONTH	YEAR-TO DATE	BUDGET BALANCE	BUDGET
430.70	Major Equipment Purchase	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 35,462.82	\$ 35,462.82
430.20	General Expense	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
TOTAL EXPENDITURES:		\$ -	\$ -	\$ 35,462.82	\$ 35,462.82
Total Transfers from Savings:		\$	\$	\$	\$

COLLEGE TOWNSHIP
FINANCIAL REPORT

FUND: STREET LIGHT

AS OF: June 30, 19 80

CHECKING ACCOUNT BALANCE: \$ 891.64

SAVINGS ACCOUNT BALANCE: \$ 5,272.39

REVENUES

ACCOUNT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	CURRENT Month	YEAR-TO DATE	BUDGET BALANCE	BUDGET
300.01	Real Estate Taxes	\$ 148.95	\$ 4,957.34	\$ 1,042.66	\$ 6,000.00
34.01	Interest	\$ 19.36	\$ 122.55	\$ 47.45	\$ 170.00
370.04	Miscellaneous	\$ -	\$ 717.25	\$ 32.75	\$ 750.00
	BALANCE FROM PRIOR YEAR		\$ 3,628.19	\$ -	\$ 3,628.19
TOTAL RECEIPTS		\$ 168.31	\$ 9,425.33	\$ 1,122.86	\$ 10,548.19
390.00	Transfers from Other Funds	\$	\$		
	Savings Account Deposits	\$	\$		
	Certificates of Deposit	\$	\$		

COLLEGE TOWNSHIP
FINANCIAL REPORT

FUND: STREET LIGHT

AS OF: June 30, 19 80

EXPENDITURES

ACCOUNT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	CURRENT Month	YEAR-TO DATE	BUDGET BALANCE	BUDGET
4100	Street Lighting	\$ 549.47	\$ 3,261.30	\$ 3,738.70	\$7,000.00
434.20	General Expense	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		\$ 549.47	\$ 3,261.30	\$ 3,738.70	\$ 7,000.00
Balance carried forward:		\$	\$		\$ 3,548.19
Total Transfers from Savings:		\$	\$		\$10,548.19

**COLLEGE TOWNSHIP
FINANCIAL REPORT**

FUND: PARKS & RECREATION
AS OF: June 30, 19 80

CHECKING ACCOUNT BALANCE: \$ 38.43

SAVINGS ACCOUNT BALANCE: \$ 14,880.18

REVENUES

Account Number	Description	Current Month	Year-to Date	Budget Balance	Budget
	Balance from Prior Year		\$ 6,382.85	\$	\$ 6,382.85
300.01	Real Estate Taxes	\$ 576.44	\$22,124.32	\$ 5,666.68	\$27,791.00
01	Interest	\$ 37.20	\$ 177.69	\$ 222.31	\$ 400.00
50.04	Gifts	\$	\$ 763.98	(763.98)	
370.04	Miscellaneous (Bank Credit)		6.00	(6.00)	
TOTAL REVENUES:		\$ 613.64	\$29,454.84	\$ 5,119.01	\$34,573.85
390.00	Transfers from Other Funds	\$	\$	\$	\$
	Savings Account Deposits	\$	\$	\$	\$
	Certificates of Deposit	\$	\$	\$	\$

COLLEGE TOWNSHIP
FINANCIAL REPORT

FUND: PARKS & RECREATION
AS OF: June 30, 19 80

EXPENDITURES

Account Number	Description	Current Month	Year-to Date	Budget Balance	Budget
450.11	Water	\$ -	\$ 72.80	\$ 37.20	\$ 110.00
450.20	General Expense	\$ -	\$ 6.00	\$ (6.00)	\$
450.40	Maintenance & Repairs	\$ 195.43	\$ 544.43	\$ 93.42	\$ 637.85
450.90	Contingency (Future Development)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,000.00	\$ 6,000.00
0.91	Regional Parks & Recreation	\$ -	\$13,913.00	\$13,913.00	\$ 27,826.00
TOTAL EXPENDITURES:		\$ 195.43	\$14,546.23	\$20,037.62	\$ 34,573.85
Total Transfers from Savings:		\$	\$	\$	\$