

COLLEGE TOWNSHIP COUNCIL
REGULAR MEETING
JUNE 11, 1981

AGENDA

CALL TO ORDER

OPEN DISCUSSION (15 MINUTES)

MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

UPDATE INFORMATION

TREASURER'S REPORT

CORRESPONDENCE

PLANS FOR APPROVAL:

- (1) Westbrier Inc. Subdivision (Prel.)
- (2) Ellis Houser Replot of Lots 3 & 6
(Prel.) *Taylor - Drotter*
- (3) Zyglar Subdivision (Prel. & Final)

BUSINESS:

- (1) Purchase and Lease-Back Arrange-
ment for 5 C.A.T.A. Buses
- (2) Progress Report on Lemont Post
Office
- (3) Discussion of Traffic Signal at
Intersection of University Drive
and Curtin Road (Dean & Williams)
- (4) Report of CRATS Coordinating Committee
(Dean)
- (5) Sewer Construction to Garver Sub-
division
- (6) Pollution of Thornton Spring
- (7) Gypsy Moth Infestation
- (8) Traffic Signal at Entrance to
Hills Plaza
- (9) Harris Acres Intersection
- (10) Set Date for Joint Work Session for
Council and Township Planning Commis-
sion and to update Comprehensive Plan.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

ADJOURNMENT

COLLEGE TOWNSHIP COUNCIL
REGULAR MEETING
JUNE 11, 1981

Chairman Taricani called the meeting to order at 7:35 p.m. in the College Township Municipal Building.

Members present: J. Carroll Dean, Herbert W. Stewart, and Dolores A. Taricani
Members absent: Donald E. Bailey and Gale L. Dargitz
Others present: Manager Elwood G. Williams, Sr.
Treasurer Robert L. Hayden

OPEN DISCUSSION

Chairman Taricani opened the discussion period explaining this was the time Council set aside each meeting to entertain questions/comments on non-agenda items.

There were no questions or comments brought before Council during this discussion period.

MINUTES

Minutes of May 14, 1981, Regular Meeting. Corrections as follows: Page 8, Item 8, Paragraph 2, Line 2: add "for his service." after "with thanks".

Mr. Dean moved the minutes of May 14, 1981, be approved as corrected. Mr. Stewart seconded the motion and it was carried unanimously by those present.

UPDATE

1. Mr. Williams reported he had checked with the Solicitor regarding whether or not a consulting firm would be classified as a "limited commercial use" which would be permitted in a "University District" on property owned by W. E. Esber, 1001 East College Avenue. Mr. Williams had provided Council with copies of the Solicitor's letter indicating his feeling that Council should amend the Ordinance to include other professional offices in the University District. Mr. Williams indicated he and Chairman Taricani had discussed the matter and felt that conditional approval of Council to permit this use could be given. Notification of this approval will indicate that Council will consider amending the Ordinance; and, if the final decision is not to include consulting firms in this District, the occupants would have 90 days in which to vacate. Mr. Williams and the Zoning Officer had prepared a draft of the letter informing Mr. Esber of this and Mr. Williams read it for Council. Mr. Bartell, who heads the consulting firm involved, has been notified of the conditions of the conditional approval. Council was in agreement that this was a fair approach to the situation. Council asked Mr. Williams to explain the conditional approval for the Planning Commission and request them to prepare a proposed change to the Ordinance to include "other professional uses", looking at the broad scope of professional uses.

2. Mr. Williams indicated he would have an answer regarding questions raised on the transfer of property from Grandparents to Grandchild and whether or not this was legal under Act 411 at the next Council Meeting.

3. Mr. Williams talked with Jim Winck regarding a work session of the College Township Parks & Recreation Committee and Council to discuss the regional parks concept. Mr. Winck indicated he would be talking with his Committee about a suitable date and would get back to Mr. Williams.

4. Mr. Williams informed Bob Hoffman he was to prepare the necessary bid packages to go to bid on the Post Office Building. Mr. Williams instructed Mr. Hoffman that Council has no preference as to who coordinates the overall construction of the building. Mr. Williams reported Mr. Hoffman has prepared the necessary bid package and will present it at the meeting.

5. Mr. Williams notified the Soil Conservation Service that they may use the building for their meetings and sent a copy of the Agreement for them to complete and return.

6. Mr. Williams has written Bob Ishler informing him of the establishment of the Regional I.D.A. Study Committee and of his appointment, as Chairman of College Township's IDA, to this Committee. A copy of this letter was sent to Centre Region. Mr. Williams also notified Centre Region of Mr. Dargitz's appointment to the Regional IDA Study Committee.

7. Mr. Williams has written Donald Girouard indicating Council accepted his resignation from the Township Parks & Recreation Committee and thanking him for his service to the Township.

8. Mr. Williams has written Larry Breon informing him of his appointment to the Township Parks & Recreation Committee filling the unexpired term of Donald Girouard, with a copy sent to James Winck, Chairman of the Township Parks & Recreation Committee.

TREASURER'S REPORT

Robert L. Hayden, Treasurer, reviewed for Council the information in the Treasurer's Report for May 1981.

Mr. Dean moved the Treasurer's Report for May 1981 be accepted. Mr. Stewart seconded the motion which was carried unanimously by those present.

PLANS FOR APPROVAL

1. Westbrier, Inc. Subdivision. David Sweetland, Sweetland Engineering and Associates, reviewed the plan for Council indicating this was a division of a lot on Carolean Industrial Drive into two lots and pinpointing the location of the lot.

Mr. Williams gave Council a letter from the County Soil Conservation indicating there were no problems with the storm water management for this subdivision.

Mr. Sweetland explained how the deficiencies as noted by the Planning Commission had been satisfied.

Mr. Sweetland indicated even though this Plan was referred to as a Preliminary Plan, he felt it was originally intended to be presented as a Preliminary and Final Plan. Council discussed this matter briefly and determined it was proper for them to approve it as a Preliminary and Final Plan.

Mr. Dean moved that Council approve the Preliminary and Final Plan of the Westbrier Subdivision Drawing, dated May 7, 1981. Mr. Stewart seconded the motion and it was carried unanimously by those present.

Inasmuch as the Council Vice-Chairman was out of town and not expected to return for several weeks, Council felt it would be expedient to appoint an Acting Vice-Chairman to sign the plans.

Mr. Dean moved Council nominate Mr. Stewart to serve as Acting Vice-Chairman in the absence of the Vice-Chairman. Chairman Taricani seconded the motion and it was carried unanimously by those present.

2. Ellis F. Houser Replot of Lots 3 & 6. Albert Drobka, of Taylor and Drobka, Architects, represented Mr. Houser and presented the plans for Council's consideration explaining this was a replot of Lots 3 and 6 to enable an addition to be built on the back of the S & H Green Stamp Store. Mr. Drobka noted that the plan was for Preliminary and Final approval.

Council assured that the deficiencies as noted by the Planning Commission had been satisfied.

Mr. Dean moved Council approve Replot of Lots 3 & 6, Lands of Ellis F. Houser, Benner Pike Tract, dated 5/6/81. Mr. Stewart seconded the motion which was carried unanimously by those present.

3. Zygler Subdivision. Tom Songer, Uni-Tec Engineers, presented the plans for Council's consideration. Mr. Songer explained the location of the lot and that it was a larger tract being subdivided into two smaller lots.

Mr. Dean moved Council approve the Preliminary and Final Plan of the Zygler Subdivision, Drawing dated May 8, 1981. Mr. Stewart seconded the motion and it was carried unanimously by those present.

4. Subdivision of Lands of Beamer and Harman and Resubdivision/Replot of Mountain Terrace Subdivision Lots 18-26. Tom Songer, Uni-Tec Engineers, represented the property owner and presented the plan for Council's consideration.

Mr. Williams told Council he had the Security Agreement for the Development but the Solicitor had not had an opportunity to review it.

Chairman Taricani explained the plan had been considered by Council before but Council had some concern regarding the storm water management in this development and wanted them resolved before granting approval.

The Township Engineer, David Sweetland, indicated he was satisfied with the final resolution of the storm water system for this development. He indicated that even though the theoretical approach and practical approach to the management of storm water in this instance did not exactly agree that the system developed "has a reasonably good chance of not causing any problems."

Chairman Taricani indicated that in her conversations with the Solicitor regarding this development he expressed he was satisfied that Council and the developer had done everything reasonable to resolve this situation. Chairman Taricani complimented those involved for the cooperative effort put forth in resolving the concerns in this situation.

Mr. Sweetland suggested that Notes 7 and 8 be put on the front page of the Plan inasmuch as the first page is the only one recorded.

Mr. Dean moved that Council approve the Final Plan of Subdivision of Lands of Beamer and Harman and Resubdivision/Replot of Mountain Terrace Subdivision Lots 18-26, dated 3/9/81, revised 6/11/81, contingent on the addition of Notes 7 and 8 from Sheet 3 being added to Sheet 1 and approval of the Financial Security Bond by the Township Solicitor. Mr. Stewart seconded the motion. The motion was carried unanimously by those present.

BUSINESS

1. Purchase and Lease-Back Arrangement for 5 C.A.T.A. Buses.
Mr. Dean referred to the May 8, 1981 letter from Paul Oversier, General Manager, CATA, outlining this proposal. Mr. Dean felt there were several questions that needed to be answered before a decision could be made relative to the Township's participation in this proposal, i.e., what is CATA proposing to pay in a lease; what would be the responsibility and obligations of the Township should Council enter into this; could the Township get a loan; could the Township get a loan at a rate that would be covered by the lease?

Chairman Taricani and Mr. Stewart also felt more information was needed before a decision could be made. Chairman Taricani referred to a memorandum from Mr. Dargitz outlining his thoughts on several of the Agenda items. Mr. Dargitz also felt more information was needed and was not in favor of the Township participating in this proposal at this time.

Chairman Taricani asked Mr. Williams to try to get more information on this proposal and retrieve from the files Page 40 of the CATA 1981-82 Budget as referenced in Mr. Oversier's letter.

Mr. Dean moved the item on the purchase and lease-back arrangements for 5 CATA buses be tabled until Council's next regular meeting. Mr. Stewart seconded the motion which was carried unanimously by those present.

2. Procure's Report on Lemont Post Office. Chairman Taricani introduced Bob Hoffman, a local Architect, who has been working on preparing the plans for the Post Office for the bidding process.

Mr. Hoffman presented Council with a set of plans which were complete except for the final checking and review for coordination with the specifications, and the specifications for the project complete except for the mechanical heating system and coordination with the plans. Mr. Hoffman indicated it would take approximately 4-5 hours to coordinate and finalize the plans and specifications and the packages would be ready for the bids to be advertised June 15.

Mr. Williams indicated the advertising document was ready except for Council determining the dates.

Chairman Taricani pointed out Mr. Hoffman had suggested the bids be accepted for 30 days and that Council allow 60 days from the closing of the bids for their review and action. Chairman Taricani also indicated she had spoken with Rep. Klinger who had indicated he would assist in any way he could on this project.

Mr. Dean moved that Council proceed as soon as possible to advertise regarding bids on the construction of the Lemont Post Office. Mr. Stewart seconded the motion which was carried unanimously by those present.

A meeting was scheduled for July 15, 1981, at 7:30 p.m. in the Municipal Building to open the bids.

Chairman Taricani expressed Council's thanks to all who worked on this project to date.

Mr. Williams indicated the Township crew will start to clean up the site the week of June 15.

3. Discussion of Traffic Signal at Intersection of University Drive and Curtin Road. Mr. Dean referred to the May 27, 1981, letter from David R. Schuckers, Director of Governmental Relations, Pennsylvania State University, concerning this intersection indicating the University's concern about the pedestrian hazard at this intersection even though there is presently a traffic signal. The University is requesting the Township to work with PennDOT in the installation of self-actuated flashing WALK/DON'T WALK lights. Mr. Dean reported the initial cost of installing these lights would be borne by the University but the Township would be responsible for maintaining them and the on-going costs of their operation. Mr. Dean was in favor of the Township requesting PennDOT to provide the design and estimate costs for these lights.

Mr. Williams was concerned that the controller on the present traffic signal would not be able to handle the additional load. Mr. Dean indicated this was one of the things he had discussed with Mr. Schucker and this is one point which should be checked with PennDOT. If another controller or modifications to the present controller were necessary, the University would bear the cost.

Mr. Dean moved that Council request PennDOT to provide the Township with design and estimate for the self-actuated flashing WALK/DON'T WALK lights at the Intersection of University Drive and Curtin Road and after this information is received enter into an agreement with the University to have these lights installed only if the Township is assured that either the existing controller is adequate to handle the additional load or that the design includes a new controller which will be adequate. Mr. Stewart seconded the motion which was carried unanimously by those present.

4. Report of CRATS Coordinating Committee. Mr. Dean indicated he had attended a meeting of the CRATS Coordinating Committee for Mr. Dargitz. At the meeting Harvey Hack, Deputy Secretary of PennDOT, reported that as a result of the 1980 census the Centre Region will be defined as an urbanized area and therefore a Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) must be designated to carry out the continuing comprehensive and cooperative urban transportation planning process mandated by Congress. Mr. Dean outlined the time-frame of this process and the proposed State funding that would be involved.

Mr. Dean indicated Council must do two things: support the designation of a MPO (in the opinion of CRATS, the CRATS Coordinating Committee should be designated as the MPO); and make necessary arrangements to change the work scope of the Planning Commission to accommodate the additional load.

Council discussed this information briefly.

Mr. Dean indicated this was for Council's information but he felt Council should be preparing itself to address the questions of MPO designation and re-arranging of Planning Commission duties.

5. Sewer Construction to Garver Subdivision. David Allison, College-Harris Joint Authority, distributed to Council copies of the Engineer's Final Report on this sewer project. Mr. Allison indicated the College-Harris Joint Authority Board had not made a decision as to whether or not to proceed with this project pending receipt of Council's feelings concerning the project. Mr. Allison indicated the Board of College-Harris Joint Authority had decided not to assess by the front foot rule on this project but rather by the benefit rule. Mr. Allison outlined the reasoning for this

decision and some of the financial considerations of the project including the fact that the project will not be self-supporting. He also indicated that none of the property owners affected had objected. He indicated that because the Authority had decided to assess by the benefit rule it was not necessary for Council to adopt an ordinance to have this project proceed but the Authority would like to have Council's endorsement of this project by simple resolution.

Mr. Dean asked if all the properties in the Subdivision would be served by the project. Mr. Allison indicated it would and outlined the path the project would take and the efforts of some of the property owners to obtain rights-of-way across adjoining properties.

Council briefly discussed the financial feasibility of the project and whether or not the monies would be better used to reduce the annual sewer rental rate for College-Harris Joint Authority customers.

Mr. Allison indicated any savings to customers as a result of using these monies to reduce the Authority's debt-service rather than build this project would be negligible. He outlined efforts being taken to try to lower the rental rate.

Mr. Dean moved that if the Authority feels in their judgement that this project to sewer the Garver Subdivision is financially feasible considering the amount of subsidy they have to provide, Council will endorse it. Mr. Stewart seconded the motion and it was carried unanimously by those present.

Mr. Allison indicated he had received a request for service from one of the property owners located along East College Avenue, across from Corning. All property owners in that area have been notified that a preliminary engineering study will be made for sewerage of the area.

6. Pollution of Thornton Spring. Chairman Taricani referred to the recent articles in the Centre Daily Times concerning the pollution of Thornton Spring indicating Council had not been aware of the problem before these articles were printed. After these articles appeared, Chairman Taricani asked Mr. Williams to call the Director of the Bureau of Water Quality Management, Louis Bercheni, relative to this pollution problem. Chairman Taricani also wrote Mr. Bercheni with copies to Sen. Corman and Rep. Cunningham and she read this June 9, 1981 letter.

Several calls were made to D.E.R. office in Harrisburg and Williamsport to get information on this pollution problem.

Chairman Taricani reported the D.E.R. Officials did return the Township's calls at which time she informed them the Township was not pleased they had not been told of this problem before the articles appeared in the newspapers. The D.E.R. Officials outlined the current pollution problem and their position and where they were in the litigation process of the Mirex and Kepone issue and indicated they would be providing the Township with a written update. Chairman Taricani indicated she had contacted the Solicitor to determine if there was anything the Township could do in this problem. The Township Solicitor cautioned her not to interfere in a situation where the Township had no legal jurisdiction.

Chairman Taricani reported that the College Township Environmental Advisory Council had met on Tuesday, June 9, and discussed this pollution problem. Attending their meeting were Jim McClure - President, ClearWater Conservancy, and Tom Doman - Vice President of the Spring Creek Chapter of Trout Unlimited, who offered several recommendations for the Township in dealing with this pollution problem. Following the meeting, Richard Kurtz prepared a letter for Council dealing with these recommendations which encompassed posting and fencing the Thornton Spring area, assuring problems of this nature do not occur again, legal action, measures to rid the Spring of pollutants, soliciting advice and cooperation from local health officials and local governments.

In Chairman Taricani's response to these recommendations, she indicated Council was limited by what they could do in that they did not have legal jurisdiction; recent revisions to regulations are more stringent and have reduced substantially the likelihood of situations like this occurring. D.E.R. has already started working on plans for the cleanup.

Chairman Taricani indicated the Township is not fully informed at this point. As soon as the written information is received from D.E.R., the Township will consult with the Solicitor to determine if there is any other action it should take. Chairman Taricani emphasized Council was very concerned about this problem but could not act in a situation where they had no jurisdiction.

Danny Sciabica, from Senator Corman's Office, was present and expressed Senator Corman's concern in this matter and willingness to do anything he could to help resolve the matter.

Chairman Taricani indicated that she had been contacted by Rep. Cunningham's Office indicating his concern and willingness to assist in this matter.

Richard Kurtz commented briefly on information contained in the newspaper articles and the information he had passed onto Council relative to the recommendations of Messrs. McClure and Doman.

Jack Mitchell indicated he had received several calls concerning the pollution of the water supplies of the Lemont Water Company. Mr. Mitchell indicated that their water supplies had not been affected.

Chairman Taricani reported the officials of D.E.R. indicated they would keep Council informed of any action taken on this matter.

Several members of the audience expressed their concern about the seeming apathy of the residents of the area in this matter and the need to keep the residents aware of the seriousness of the problem.

7. Gypsy Moth Infestation. Chairman Taricani expressed her concern about the gypsy moth infestation and the need for the Township to start planning their approach to this problem for next year. Chairman Taricani indicated she had done considerable research and had contacted several experts and was informed the only effective way to deal with the problem was to spray.

Chairman Taricani had talked with Dan Pennick, of the County Planning Office, who indicated State and County funds for the gypsy moth spraying program would be in short supply next year. She felt the Township has a responsibility in this matter and suggested that possibly the Township would need to initiate a spraying program of their own.

Mr. Dean suggested the County be contacted as to their plans for coordinating a County spraying program, not distributing funds but coordinating the effort.

Jeff Bower, County Commissioner, indicated that what the County did depended somewhat on what the State did.

Mr. Dean expressed his feeling that it was important to start planning the spraying program early and if the County was not or could not coordinate the program then perhaps the Centre Region should.

Mr. Bower emphasized the importance that residents notify the gypsy moth hotline or the Centre County Planning Office if they are being affected by the gypsy moth infestation so that their area may be inspected.

Several members of the audience encouraged Council to pursue a coordinated spraying program and to start the planning early.

Mr. Dean suggested using a newsletter to inform the Township residents of the severity of the infestation and what the Township is proposing and soliciting their response to the spraying program and the amount they would be willing to pay to have their property sprayed. Additionally, Mr. Dean suggested that Council work through the Regional Offices (the General Forum) and the County Office to try to determine the level of coordination that is going to exist.

Council was in agreement that the Township should try to determine the interest of the residents in the spraying program and to pursue through regular coordinating channels Regional/County spraying program.

Discussion followed on why the mountains are not being sprayed. The explanation offered indicated that the spray program was to control the moth in residential areas and let biological controls eventually control the moth elsewhere. It was also pointed out that there is a booklet published by the Department of Forestry on the gypsy moth that would probably be available through Rep. Cunningham's office. Chairman Taricani asked Mr. Williams to get several copies of this booklet.

8. Traffic Signal at Entrance to Hills Plaza. Mr. Williams reviewed the May 29, 1981 letter from Thomas C. Ickes, District Engineer, Department of Transportation, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, indicating the traffic count and accident experience do not justify a traffic signal at this intersection.

9. Harris Acres Intersection. Chairman Taricani outlined the hazards at this intersection. She indicated that the situation could be improved by controlling the passing in the intersection. Mr. Dean suggested possibly a "left turn only" lane would improve the situation.

Council asked Mr. Williams to contact the Clearfield Office of the Department of Transportation to have them review the intersection and propose a solution to this hazardous intersection.

10. Chairman Taricani referred to the June 5, 1981 memorandum from Gale L. Dargitz to Council providing his comments on several issues. She indicated Mr. Dargitz suggested that periodic checks be made to assure the improvements in large developments are being installed in conformance with the plans and regulations. Mr. Williams indicated he followed the construction of the roads/streets rather closely. Council suggested that Mr. Williams have the Zoning Officer monitor these improvements assuring they are conforming to the subdivision regulations. If the Zoning Officer needs expert help in some areas, he should work through Mr. Williams to obtain it.

11. Set Date for Joint Work Session for Council and Township Planning Commission to update Comprehensive Plan. Council set July 15, at 8:30 p.m. for this work session.

12. Mr. Dean indicated he had reviewed the Sign Review Board's consideration of the appeal for variance by Valley GMC and felt the Township had been made to bow to GMC requirements. Mr. Dean suggested that if the Zoning Hearing Board approves the sign, the Township write GMC informing them of the Township's sign policy and advising them that should the sign ever blow down or have to be taken down for repair, the exception will not be repeated.

Council Regular Meeting
June 11, 1981
Page 11

13. Mr. Dean reported that he did meet with the Planning Commission on May 20 to discuss the role of the Township Engineer.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. Dean moved the meeting be adjourned. Mr. Stewart seconded the motion which was carried unanimously by those present.

The meeting was adjourned at 10:13 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Elwood G. Williams, Sr.
Secretary

EGW:lf:bh

COLLEGE TOWNSHIP

TREASURER'S REPORT

MAY, 1981

DESCRIPTION

REFERENCE

General Fund - Receipts & Expenditures

Schedule I

Township Funds - Cash & Investments

Schedule II

COLLEGE TOWNSHIP
TREASURER'S REPORT
MAY, 1981

DESCRIPTION

General Fund - Receipts & Expenditures

Township Funds - Cash & Investments

REFERENCE

Schedule I

Schedule II

COLLEGE TOWNSHIPTREASURER'S REPORTGENERAL FUND - RECEIPTS & EXPENDITURESMAY, 1981

	<u>MAY '81</u>	<u>APRIL '81</u>
Check Book Balance - Beginning of the Month.....	\$ <u>153</u>	\$ <u>212</u>
Receipts During the Month.....	<u>50,365</u>	<u>56,040</u>
Net Transfers from Savings.....	<u>7,215</u>	<u>-0-</u>
	<u>\$57,733</u>	<u>\$56,252</u>
Expenditures for the Month.....	<u>\$57,016</u>	<u>\$32,741</u>
Net Transfers to Savings.....	<u>-0-</u>	<u>23,358</u>
Check Book Balance - End of the Month.....	\$ <u>717</u>	\$ <u>153</u>

COLLEGE TOWNSHIP
TREASURER'S REPORT
CASH & INVESTMENTS

MAY, 1981

	<u>GENERAL</u>	<u>STREET LIGHT</u>	<u>EQUIPMENT</u>	<u>PARKS & REC.</u>	<u>STATE</u>	<u>REVENUE SHARE</u>	<u>SPEC. RD. CONST. FUND</u>
I. CASH:							
Checking Account	\$ 719 ^a	\$ 113	\$ 19	\$ 89	\$ 570	\$ 326	\$ 123
II. INVESTED:							
A. Daily Interest 5.25% Annual	21,205	6,324	13,797	12,306	28,101	6,546	6,771
B. 90 Day Certificate 5.5% Annual							
C. 6 Month Money Market Cert.							
TOTAL FUNDS @ MAY	<u>\$21,924</u>	<u>\$ 6,437</u>	<u>\$13,816</u>	<u>\$12,395</u>	<u>\$28,471</u>	<u>\$ 6,872</u>	<u>\$ 6,894</u>
PREVIOUS MONTH	<u>\$28,573</u>	<u>\$ 4,410</u>	<u>\$ 8,044</u>	<u>\$ 919</u>	<u>\$30,336</u>	<u>\$17,835</u>	<u>\$ 6,894</u>
CASH	<u>\$ 1,759</u>						
INVESTED	<u>\$95,050</u>						
TOTAL	<u>\$96,809</u>						
PREVIOUS MONTH	<u>\$97,011</u>						

NOTES:
General Fund Checking:
a) Includes \$100.00 In Payroll Account