COLLEGE TOWNSHIP COUNCIL

REGULAR MEETING

JUNE 28, 1984

4:00 PM

The second regular meeting of the College Township Council was called to order on Thursday, June 28, 1984 at 4pm by Chairman Taricani in the College Township Municipal Building.

Members present: Gale L Dargitz, Max E Hartswick, Fred E Smith, Herbert W Stewart, & Dolores A Taricani - Chairman

Others present: C Thomas Lechner - Manager
Beulah L Houser - Adminstrative Assistant

PUBLIC HEARING

Proposed Ordinance Authorizing the Use of Mechanical or Electrical Devices in Detecting Speeding Motorists

Following Taricani's statement that Council had been advised to postpone action on the proposed ordinance, Stewart moved and Hartswick seconded that the public hearing on the proposed ordinance be tabled due to the recent decision of Judge Brown on the matter.

Council members then discussed Smith's question as to the time element involved in the tabling action. After agreeing that since the hearing would have to be re-advertised in any case, Council decided the most appropriate procedure would be to withdraw the motion.

Stewart amended his motion to state that Council withdraws the motion proposing the adoption of an ordinance authorizing the use of mechanical or electrical devices by the Contracted Police Services. Both Smith and Hartswick acceded to Stewart's amended motion which then carried unanimously.

For the record, Taricani added that Council will wait for further information

CORRESPONDENCE

1. PennDOT on Center Turning Lane on the Benner Pike

A letter, dated June 14, 1984 was received from PennDOT's District Engineer Thomas C Ickes, PE concerning left turns on the Benner Pike. This item was addressed later on the agenda under Business 4.

BUSINESS

1. Amended Motion on Appointments to the Centre Region Building and Housing Code Board of Appeals

To correct an error in appointments made to the CRB&HCBA, Smith rescinded his motion concerning the appointments made at the June 14, 1984 meeting and instead moved that Heather Coon and Roger Soheck be appointed to the positions on the Board. Following Hartswick's second, the motion carried.

2. Schlow Library Financing Agreement for Expansion

Lechner said that COG decided at its last meeting that each municipality should individually consider the Financing Agreement for Schlow's expansion. Dargitz added that earlier questions concerning the debt and the time of the first payment had been resolved.

Terms of the agreement were discussed, and it was determined that the College Township's 11.3 percent share of the maximum COG contribution of $489,000 would be $207,000 - spread over a 20-year time period. Dargitz thought the impact on College Township would be $1000 to $2000 per year. Taricani asked Lechner to make arrangements for Council to consider the agreement at their July 12 meeting.

3. Endorsement of Waddle Road Interchange

Taricani read a memo from Jim Steff reporting that COG at the June 18, 1984 meeting voted to endorse Patton Township's application for a DCA Grant for the Waddle Road Interchange. Steff suggested that each municipaltiy also write a letter of endorsement of Patton's request.

Stewart then moved and Dargitz seconded that Council endorse Patton Township's request for a grant for the Waddle Road Interchange. The vote on the motion was unanimous. A letter of endorsement directed to Vic Dupuis, Patton Township Supervisor, was signed by the Chairman.

4. (1) PennDOT's Letter Regarding Left Turns on Benner Pike

Centre Regional Planner Robert Watkins discussed PennDOT's June 14, 1984 letter to Thomas Lechner that stated PennDOT will fund 75 percent of the cost of a center turning lane from the Dale Summit traffic signal to Shiloh Road - if the Darlington Subdivision Committee can obtain written commitments from private business located on that highway section stating they will fund the other 25 percent of the $640,000 project. PennDOT asks that the College Township Council act as collector and disburser of the private funding.

Watkins reported a "fairly positive" response from the property owners at the June 25, 1984 meeting. It was generally agreed at that meeting that one central intersection was needed to serve both the Darlington subdivision and the Nittany Mall, and it seemed that moving the locations of existing entrances toward each other to an approximate half-way point would be a viable solution. However, Crown American Corporation later said in a letter they were not interested in moving their entrance.

Planners were concerned that Summit Road would be in such close proximity to the proposed intersection that vehicles lined up in the turning lane to the malls would interfere with traffic exiting and entering Summit Road.

68

Solutions offered for Summit Road, Watkins said, were (1) allow only one-way traffic, (2) close the entrance to Summit Road near the Mall, or (3) relocate the road. Another proposal was to construct the Darlington Entrance opposite the existing Nittany Mall entrance.

As Council members discussed former proposals before the Nittany Mall was built, Dargitz then commented that Crown American was still planning to expand in back and extend parking towards Bellefonte. Watkins responded that although Crown American has stated their plans for expansion were still alive, from the Township's point-of-view they had expired.

Also discussed was payment by the private sector for the turning lane. Dargitz commented that PennDOT will not make the improvements until a binding, written agreement by the property owners is in place.

There was some question about PennDOT's funding of the relocation of the entrances. Watkins thought they were willing to contribute to some extent on that project but not for alterations on Summit Road.

Council agreed that at this point all they could do was wait.

4. <u>(2) Planning Commission Recommendations on the Model Storm Water Management Public Hearing Date</u>

Taricani said she had received various comments on the reasons behind the proposed ordinance as well as the added expense. The Chairman stated the ordinance is a requirement mandated by the State. Lechner added the ordinance should not add more expense than exists now.

Watkins also commented there was little in the ordinance that isn't currently being enforced. He went on to say the Township is enforcing storm water management planning based on State Legislation eliciting ideas from general engineering practices. The model ordinance codifies these general ideas and sets standards for engineers to follow.

Watkins added engineers and planners at the State level along with the Soil Conservation Service improved and developed the Ferguson Ordinance into the format of a subdivision ordinance. The proposed ordinance has been reviewed by Ben Novak and Gert Aaron with Aaron adding a section on sinkholes, since it is a major source of drinking water in the area.

Watkins stressed the ordinance would apply to any subdivision or for any zoning permit request on land previously subdivided. Exemptions are listed on page 9 of the model.

In questioning Watkins, both Dargitz and Smith were concerned that the ordinance did not address the issue of who was responsible for maintenance of the detention basin. Dargitz asked if other Centre Regional municipalities dealt with the problem of long-term maintenance.

Watkins replied that the ordinance did address the issue but not to the extent it perhaps needs to be. He also stated that no other municipalities were formally addressing the issue.

Stewart was concerned that the ordinance did not do more to prevent accidents in sinkhole area - particularly in the case of children.

Dargitz recommended that in the section on sinkholes, it should be explicitly stated who would plan and maintain protection around the sinkhole. He said it should also state in what cases this applies - just in subdivisions or for all sinkholes in the Township. This, he said, was also true for the posting of signs, whose responsibility and where does it apply.

In discussing a date for the public hearing, Taricani asked that it be held at the next meeting.

5. Planning Commission Recommendations on Parking Problems

Watkins said the Planning Commission was considering the parking problem in two parts - parking in Lemont and parking in the rest of the Township. The Commission had also established a Lemont Parking Committee which was to be formed from representatives of various sectors of Lemont. Watkins added they should address a full range of issues besides parking such as sidewalks and trees.

If the Commission desired, Watkins said, he and Lechner would conduct an inventory of parking problems in the rest of the Township as related to narrow streets, snow removal, bus routes, etc.

Answering Smith's inquiry about the State right-of-way on Pike Street and plans for trees, sidewalks and parking; Lechner replied the Township would need a permit from the State.

Taricani stressed again it was important to gather public opinion from all residents, perhaps through a town meeting.

Chris Exarchos said the Lemont Parking Committee would meet June 29, 1984 at 3 pm.

6. PennDOT Snow Removal Agreement for Winter Season

Lechner explained that the Township contracted each year with the State to plow certain State roads - an arrangement which was agreeable to both parties. Referring to Exhibit A in the packet, Lechner said the Township would receive $8143 for the coming year if two more roads were added.

The two roads proposed were (1) the new three-lane Park Avenue Extension from the University Drive Intersection to the By-pass and (2) Curtin Road from University Drive to Fox Hollow and Fox Hollow to Big Hollow Road. Service on Park Avenue will generate approximately $1500 for the Township and Curtin/Fox Hollow, $1000.

Lechner added that with the Township's additional vehicle and personnel, they had more flexibility in assuming extra responsibility. Dargitz inquired about a cost accounting plan to determine the expense of snow removal on each State Road; Lechner answered he could provide the information. Smith asked if the money offered for a typical year was realistic; Lechner indicated it was.

Dargitz then moved and Hartswick seconded that Council adopt the Resolution (#104) related to the snow removal agreement for the winter season 1984-84. The motion carried.

70

OTHER BUSINESS

Request to Approve Monies for Rebuilding a Footbridge in Spring Creek Park

Regarding tter dated June 27, 1984 from Eric Niemann requesting funding for pressurized lumber to construct a footbridge in Spring Creek Park, Dargitz moved and Smith seconded a motion to finance 50 percent of the funding (not to exceed $325.00) for the purchase of treated lumber to build a footbridge in Spring Creek Park. The motion carried.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

Taricani announced that Council has the Financial Advisory Committee's report in the form of minutes from the last three meetings.

ADJOURNMENT

Following Hartswick's motion and Smith's second, the meeting adjourned at 5:10 pm.

Respectfully submitted,

C Thomas Lechner
Secretary

CTL:jh:key

MANAGER'S UPDATE

MEETING HELD JUNE 14, 1984

1. A Letter be written to Mr. Smith saying that Council had not forgotten the issue and citing facets of the problems just discussed such as controlling the right-of-way and legalities involved. Smith should be instructed to contact the Lemont Village Assoc. about the possibility of conducting a town meeting during a portion of a Council meeting. Contact the State for information on the rights and limits of the Township for putting sidewalks and trees on the State right-of-way.

RESPONSE: A letter was sent to Mr. Smith on June 21, 1984. The State was contacted and responded by indicating that the right-of-way is 50 Ft. and permits would be required for both trees and sidewalks.

2. Request PennDOT to do a traffic study regarding the situation with the purpose of considering reducing the traffic volume and speed limit on Boalsburg Road.

RESPONSE: A letter was sent to PennDOT on June 18, 1984. At this time we're still waiting for a response.

3. Advertise the ordinance concerning the use of speed timing devices.

RESPONSE: This Ordinance was advertised in the Centre Daily Times on June 20, 1984.

MEETING HELD JUNE 28, 1984

1. Make arrangements for Council to consider the Schlow Library Financing Agreement for Expansion at their July 12 meeting.

RESPONSE: The original agreement will be available for the July 12, 1984 Meeting.

2. Public hearing for the PC recommendations on the Model Storm Water Management be held at the next meeting (July 12).

RESPONSE: The legal ad for the Public Hearing was published on July 3, 1984.

COLLEGE TOWNSHIP FINANCIAL REPORT

Cash Position for the 6 months ended 6/30 19 84

		CURRENT MONTH	YEAR TO DATE
BEGINNING:			
Cash	5,786		
Investments	232,831		
Accounts Receivable	165		
LESS Accounts Payable	9,731		
Fund Equity		229,051	
Revenues		39,297	508,791
Total Available for Appropriation		268,348	
LESS Expenditures		57,923	357,212
ENDING:			
Cash	5,402		
Investments	209,285		
Accounts Receivable	-0-		
LESS Accounts Payable	4,262		
UNAPPROPRIATED FUND EQUITY		210,425	

COLLEGE TOWNSHIP FINANCIAL REPORT

BUDGET AND ACTUAL APPROIATIONS - ALL FUNDS for the 6 month(s) ended 6/30 19 84

ACC'T.	CLASSIFICATION	1983 BUDGET 1983	1983 ACTUAL Y-T-D	1984 BUDGET 1984	1984 ACTUAL MONTH	1984 ACTUAL Y-T-D	1984 BUDGET REMAIN. for '84	PROJECT. REMAIN. for '84	ACTUAL + to '84 BUDGET
	ASSETS								
100	Beginning Cash	$ 31,485	$ 31,485	$ 58,810	$ N/A	$ 58,810	$ 210,289	$	$
	REVENUES								
300	Taxes: Pr[illegible]	152,304	119,308	162,804	10,723	135,293	27,511		
	Local Enabling	312,000	165,971	324,500	11,[illegible]	191,100	133,400		
320	Licenses & Permits	190	1,458	5,500	300	4,091	1,409		
330	Fines & Forfeits	41,000	20,198	44,000	6,843	23,732	20,268		
340	Interest & Rents	25,900	13,224	30,300	4,298	17,335	12,965		
350	Intergovern. Revenues	137,659	93,662	151,265	-0-	102,686	48,579		
360	Charges for Services	28,788	16,464	38,150	4,716	19,766	18,484		
380	Miscellaneous	7,022	1,586	1,800	429	14,788	(12,988)		
	TOTAL REVENUES	$704,863	$ 431,871	$758,319	$ 39,297	$ 508,791	$ 249,628	$	$
	TOTAL AVAILABLE for APPROPRIATION	$736,348	$ 463,356	$817,129	$ N/A	$ 567,601	$ 459,917	$	$
	EXPENDITURES								
400	Gen. Gov't.: Administ.	$109,880	$ 60,761	$119,380	$ 9,759	$ 55,550	$ 63,830	$	$
	Tax. Coll.	41,355	22,172	44,340	5,107	23,047	21,293		
	Sub-Total 400	151,235	82,933	163,720	14,866	78,597	85,123		
410	Public Safety:								
	Police	178,003	84,749	195,845	16,696	98,995	96,850		
	Fire	26,865	13,448	31,565	-0-	14,925	16,640		
	Planning & Zoning	27,225	13,781	30,155	1,049	15,295	14,860		
	Sub-Total 410	232,093	111,978	257,565	17,745	129,215	128,350		
420	Health & Welfare:								
	Sanitation	1,000	237	1,000	845	846	154		
430	Highways:								
	General Maintenance	45,000	31,385	61,311	5,413	25,865	35,446		
	Snow Removal	24,770	7,271	26,635	-0-	21,353	5,282		
	Traffic Signals	5,800	1,572	13,650	6,909	9,026	4,624		
	Street Lights	8,760	4,293	8,900	716	4,228	4,672		
	Highway Maintenance	50,300	20,117	50,540	7,385	22,640	27,900		
	Construction Proj.	40,790	17,295	25,535	-0-	-0-	25,535		
	Sub-Total 430	175,420	81,933	186,571	20,423	83,112	103,459		
440	Transportation:								
	Transit System	18,168	10,758	12,725	-0-	6,060	6,665		
450	Culture Recreation:								
	Parks & Recreation	41,625	20,337	43,283	-0-	21,392	21,891		
	Libraries	24,889	12,194	28,913	-0-	14,456	14,457		
	Senior Citizens	6,171	1,363	5,738	-0-	3,439	2,299		
	Sub-Total 450	72,685	33,894	77,934	-0-	39,287	38,647		
470	Debt Service:								
	Principal & Interest	17,262	6,286	16,646	1,011	6,066	10,580		
480	Miscellaneous:								
	Employee Benefits	37,000	8,577	42,158	3,035	14,029	28,129		
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	$704,863	$ 336,596	$758,319	$ 57,923	$ 357,212	$ 401,107	$	$
	REMAINDER for APPROPROATION	$ 31,485	$ 126,760	$ 58,810	$ N/A	$ 210,389	$ 58,810	$	$

COLLEGE TOWNSHIP CASH FLOW REPORT
@ JUNE 30, 1984

BASED ON 1983 MONTHLY FLOW PERCENTAGES

	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEPT	OCT	NOV	DEC	
CASH - BEGINNING BALANCE	58810	29910	32610	18010	159210	167710	160210	149110	129710	127610	119010	116010	
PLUS - PROJECTED REVENUES	60000	55800	22700	213300	57600	40000	87200	43200	58700	66000	46700	24300	758300
LESS - ANTICIPATED EXPEND	88900	53100	37300	72300	49100	47500	98300	62600	40800	77200	49700	81500	758300
CASH - ENDING BALANCE	29910	32610	18010	159210	167710	160210	149110	129710	127610	119010	116010	58810	

	CURRENT MONTH			YEAR-TO-DATE		
	ESTIMATED	ACTUAL	ACTUAL OVER (UNDER)	ESTIMATED	ACTUAL	ACTUAL OVER (UNDER)
CASH - BEGINNING BALANCE	167710	229051	61341	58810	58817	7
PLUS - PROJECTED REVENUES	40000	39297	< 703 >	449600	508791	59191
LESS - ANTICIPATED EXPEND.	47500	57923	10423	348200	357212	9012
CASH - ENDING BALANCE	160210	210425	50215	160210	210396	50186 (A)

EXCEPTIONS

(A) 75.8% of this increase is due to three exceptions: Nonresident Earned Income Tax remitted to Township - 16104
Voluntary contributions - 13975
Crown American real estate tax - 12,893

© WILSON JONES COMPANY 7515 GREEN 992 MADE IN U.S.A.