Oct 9, 1968

The regular meeting of the College Township Board of Supervisors was held in the Municipal Building on October 9, 1968 at 7:30 p.m. All supervisors were present.

Treasurer's Report - Supervisors approved payment of bills in the amount of $3,909.90, leaving a balance of $23,038.91.

Old Business Traffic Light at Houserville Road - No further word from the Highway Department. Mr. Hellbaum will investigate what progress has been made with the traffic count.

Nittany Mall. Mr. Hellbaum and Mr. Mauze met with Carl Barfoot of Crown Construction Company to discuss problems of installing the guard rail and the landscaping around the light standards in the parking lot. There some confusion seems to exist with respect to the number of light standards which were to be landscaped, the supervisors suggested that Mr Mauze work the problem out with the Planning Commission.

New Business D'Antonio Contract. The supervisors signed the contract with Nicholas D'Antonio for cutting down his lot at the corner of Spring Creek and Houserville Road with the promise as follows: The supervisors will pay $600 for grading the property. Mr D'Antonio will retain title to

Oct 9, 1968

the land, and the supervisors will guarantee to make proper plantings for erosion control.

Development Plans Mitchell Subdivision

The Planning Commission's recommendation that the supervisors reject the present plan and return it for redesigning in line with the bypass route through the property - was read. Mr Granland stated that, once the bypass route was know, the Planning Commission had revised its previous recommendation to accept the plan and felt that the whole subdivision should be redesigned to allow for necessary changes occasioned by the State College Bypass route.

Miss Haycroft, attorney for J. H. Mitchell, objected to the Board's acting on the recommendation because she stated that the Planning Commission had not advised Mr. Mitchell of their recommendation as provided in Section 6.42 of the Subdivision Regulations so that proper appeal could be made. After considerable discussion, it was moved by Williams and seconded by Weaver that the consideration of the Subdivision be returned to the Planning Commission with the recommendation that they arrange a meeting at which Mr. Mitchell or his representative could appear to present any additional relevant information. Motion carried unanimously.

Myer Brothers Subdivision The supervisors have been informed by the Planning Commission that the Commission is holding up any further action on the sketch plan until such time as

they are advised by the Mayor whether they wish to pursue the submission of plans to College Township or pursue the annexation action with State College Borough. Mr. Norman Creeser objected strenuously to the actions of the Planning Commission concerning the Mayer Subdivision. He stated that he had shown the plan to the County Planning Commission and was advised by them that a subdivision plan was not necessary. In answer to a question of the Board, concerning submission of the sketch plan to the County Planning Commission for comment, Mr. Hanlund stated that the County Planning Commission had not sent the plan to the County, because in the past the County Planning Commission had indicated that the Commission did not want to review one-lot subdivisions. He also stated that under the County regulations a one-lot plan was not considered a subdivision, but that under the Township Subdivision Ordinance, Section 7.574 provided for subdivisions in all zones, including C-2 areas. Under Section 2.80 of the Subdivision Ordinance, the Mayer Subdivision plan has been exempted from the usual procedure, and, therefore, no preliminary plan could be required. After approval of the sketch plan, the Commission would consider the final plan. In such instances, it has been customary for the Commission to submit the sketch plan to the supervisors for their review, if desired, before considering the final plan. Harrock, in the case, because

of the annexation report, the Planning Commission had changed its recommendation approving the sketch plan and held up further action until they receive some indication that the Meyer Brothers wished to proceed with their original action.

The supervisors decided to refer the sketch plan for the Meyer subdivision to the County Planning Commission for their review if the county wished to review it and to seek a clarification of the county policy with respect to reviewing one-lot subdivisions. The sketch plan was returned to the Planning Commission to await an answer from the county and for further recommendation.

Centre County Hospital Plans - The Board approved the final subdivision plan for the new hospital site in College Township, as recommended by the Planning Commission.

Opening of Bids - Two bids were received for the traffic lights at the Nittany Mall intersection: One from Tel-Power Inc. of Hollidaysburg for $5,514.53 and one from Slaffer Electric of Bellefonte for $6,860.00. It was moved by Williams and seconded by Hearn that the Board award the contract to the Tel-Power Company of Hollidaysburg. Motion carried.

A letter signed by Dr. & Mrs. H. Clark Dalton and Mrs. L. D. Keene, urging support of the Sign Ordinance was read.

Mr. Hickel presented a complaint

regarding a weed problem on a lot owned by Mrs. Fickel. The complaint was referred to Mr. Williams for action. Mr. Fickel also complained of sewage leaking from the septic system of the Hilltop Trailer Court. He was advised to take his complaint to the Sanitary Officer in Bellefonte, if he could not work out some solution with Mr. Mitchell.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 9:30 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,
Leslie M Weaver