

COLLEGE TOWNSHIP COUNCIL  
REGULAR MEETING HELD  
NOVEMBER 10, 1983



TENTATIVE AGENDA

PRE-MEETING TO BE HELD AT 6:30 p.m.

CALL TO ORDER: 7:00 P.M.

- PUBLIC HEARINGS:
- (1) I.D.A. PUBLIC HEARING - (a) Nittany Manor Joint Venture  
(b) Centre Welding Supply, Inc.  
(c) Charles A. & Constance B. Farrell
  - (2) PUBLIC HEARING ON PROPOSED USE FOR REVENUE SHARING FUNDS
  - (3) PUBLIC HEARING ON PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO COLLEGE TOWNSHIP ZONING AND SUBDIVISION ORDINANCES.

HEARINGS ADJOURNED

REGULAR MEETING CONVENED

OPEN DISCUSSION

- APPROVAL OF MINUTES
- (1) Minutes of October 13, 1983
  - (2) Minutes of October 27, 1983

UPDATE INFORMATION

FINANCIAL REPORT

- CORRESPONDENCE
- C-1 Letter from Alvi Voigt re bus route change
  - C-2 Letter from Eleanor Coburn re smoke through the Spring Creek Estates Area.

- BUSINESS
- B-1 Rezoning Request from Uni-Tec, Inc. for Highland Development Joint Venture

- B-2 Discussion of Fee Schedules for 1984 Budget

COMMITTEE REPORTS

ANNOUNCEMENTS

JOURNMENT

COLLEGE TOWNSHIP FINANCIAL REPORT

Cash Position for the 10 months ended 10/31 19 83

		<u>CURRENT</u> <u>MONTH</u>	<u>YEAR TO</u> <u>DATE</u>
<b>BEGINNING:</b>			
Cash	<u>4,526</u>		
Investments	<u>106,306</u>		
Accounts Receivable	<u>49</u>		
● <u>LESS</u> Accounts Payable	<u>-0-</u>		
Fund Equity		<u>110,881</u>	
Revenues		<u>65,852</u>	<u>660,137</u>
Total Available for Appropriation		<u>176,733</u>	
● <u>LESS</u> Expenditures		<u>68,805</u>	<u>585,112</u>
<b>ENDING:</b>			
Cash	<u>(10,459)*</u>		
Investments	<u>118,269</u>		
Accounts Receivable	<u>49</u>		
● <u>LESS</u> Accounts Payable	<u>-0-</u>		
UNAPPROPRIATED FUND EQUITY		<u><u>107,859</u></u>	

\*Checks written to record expenditures in the month, but held past the last day of the month.

**COLLEGE TOWNSHIP FINANCIAL REPORT**  
**BUDGET AND ACTUAL APPROPRIATIONS - ALL FUNDS for the 10 months ended 10/31 1983**

F.T.	CLASSIFICATION	1982			1983				
		BUDGET 1982	ACTUAL Y-T-D		BUDGET 1983	ACTUAL MONTH	ACTUAL Y-T-D	BUDGET REMAIN. for '83	PROJECT. REMAIN. for '83
	<b>ASSETS</b>								
100	Beginning Cash	\$ 52,394	\$ 52,394	\$ 31,485	\$ N/A	\$ 31,485	\$106,510	\$107,859	\$ 1,349
	<b>REVENUES</b>								
300	Taxes:								
	Property Tax	102,108	95,971	152,304	2,066	151,163	1,141	1,932	791
	Local Enabling	283,000	238,494	312,000	30,651	271,490	40,510	34,349	(6,161)
320	Licenses & Permits	150	300	190	1,413	4,366	(4,176)	-0-	4,176
330	Fines & Forfeits	41,000	32,929	41,000	4,962	35,766	5,232	6,838	1,606
340	Interest & Rents:								
	Interest	13,724	8,537	7,500	1,371	10,524	(3,024)	1,929	4,953
	Rents	16,500	16,925	18,400	1,375	13,750	4,650	4,550	(100)
350	Intergovern. Revenues	149,397	140,182	137,659	23,043	137,413	246	-0-	(246)
360	Charges for Services	25,850	21,035	28,788	970	28,972	(184)	5,000	5,184
380	Miscellaneous	12,720	4,786	7,022	1	6,691	331	50	(281)
	<b>Total Revenues</b>	<b>\$644,449</b>	<b>\$559,159</b>	<b>\$704,863</b>	<b>\$65,852</b>	<b>\$660,137</b>	<b>\$44,726</b>	<b>\$54,648</b>	<b>\$9,922</b>
	<b>TOTAL AVAILABLE for APPROPRIATION</b>	<b>\$696,843</b>	<b>\$611,553</b>	<b>\$736,348</b>	<b>\$ N/A</b>	<b>\$691,622</b>	<b>\$151,236</b>	<b>\$162,507</b>	<b>\$11,271</b>
	<b>EXPENDITURES</b>								
400	General Government:	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
	Administration	112,442	86,646	109,880	7,339	90,046	19,834	18,261	(1,573)
	Tax Collection	33,094	31,439	41,355	2,768	34,392	6,963	7,632	669
	Public Safety:								
	Police	177,014	144,211	178,003	16,258	146,107	31,896	30,042	(1,854)
	Fire	27,744	25,866	26,865	5,391	26,097	768	1,449	681
	Planning & Zoning	20,000	24,884	27,225	6,345	26,841	384	658	274
420	Health & Welfare:								
	Sanitation	1,500	595	1,000	601	1,015	(15)	-0-	15
430	Highways:								
	General Maintenance	10,000	14,184	45,000	69	33,207	11,793	6,931	(4,862)
	Snow Removal	23,000	27,403	24,770	-0-	7,401	17,369	12,800	(4,569)
	Traffic Signals	3,500	4,782	5,800	638	3,637	2,163	362	(1,801)
	Street Lights	7,800	6,645	8,760	699	7,089	1,671	1,476	(195)
	Highway Maintenance	109,672	53,602	50,300	5,718	45,263	5,037	7,982	2,945
	Construction Projects	27,000	-0-	40,790	7,833	44,524	(3,734)	10,167	13,901
440	Transportation:								
	Transit System	11,000	11,480	18,168	3,030	16,818	1,350	-0-	(1,350)
450	Culture Recreation:								
	Parks & Recreation	44,790	39,218	41,625	4,064	40,704	921	29	(892)
	Libraries	22,172	22,172	24,889	6,097	24,888	1	-0-	(1)
	Senior Citizens	5,000	6,391	6,171	-0-	2,018	4,153	700	(3,453)
470	Debt Service:								
	Principal & Interest	17,077	14,578	17,262	1,011	15,060	2,202	2,022	(180)
480	Miscellaneous:								
	Employee Benefits	32,843	12,155	37,000	944	20,005	16,995	14,156	(2,839)
	<b>Total Expenditures</b>	<b>\$685,648</b>	<b>\$526,251</b>	<b>\$704,863</b>	<b>\$68,805</b>	<b>\$585,112</b>	<b>\$119,751</b>	<b>\$114,667</b>	<b>\$(5,084)</b>
	<b>REMAINDER for APPROPRIATION</b>	<b>\$ 11,195</b>	<b>\$ 85,302</b>	<b>\$ 31,485</b>	<b>\$ N/A</b>	<b>\$106,510</b>	<b>\$ 31,485</b>	<b>\$ 47,840</b>	<b>\$ 16,355</b>

COLLEGE TOWNSHIP COUNCIL  
PUBLIC HEARING AND REGULAR MEETING  
NOVEMBER 10, 1983  
7:00 PM

The College Township Council convened at 7:00 pm on Thursday, November 10, 1983, in the College Township Municipal Building for public hearings and its regular meeting.

Members present: Donald E. Bailey, J. Carroll Dean, Gale L. Dargitz - Chairman, Herbert W. Stewart, Dolores A. Taricani

Others present: C. Thomas Lechner - Manager  
Beulah Houser - Administrative Assistant  
Robert L. Hayden - Treasurer

PUBLIC HEARINGS

1. Industrial Development Authority Loan Applications

Summarizing each of the applicant's projects for IDA funding, Reed McCormick, College Township Solicitor, stated that (1) Nittany Manor Joint Venture had requested a \$1,000,000 loan to expand, improve, and further develop the existing Nittany Manor Motel on North Atherton Street. (2) Centre Welding Supply, Inc. had applied for a \$135,000 loan for a building purchase, construction, and expansion of the business located in the Houser Commercial Park on Commercial Boulevard. (3) The Charles A. & Constance B. Farrell project requested \$185,000 for the purchase of land, construction and further development of a new building and facility in the CATO Industrial Park on West College Avenue. McCormick asked Council to sign three copies of an approval certificate, if and after each project was approbated.

After receiving no public testimony on the Nittany Manor application, Dargitz stated his personal views on IDA loans, saying that if a project is a genuine improvement of the area's economy in the sense that it creates new jobs in a rehabilitative or new facility, and there would truly be a loss of employment without an IDA loan because the applicant could not proceed with the project, then he felt the situation was viable. He added that a year and a half ago, a number of projects considered by Council did not fall into that category. The only benefit to the economy was to the borrowers in the sense they were receiving money at a subsidized rate (subsidized by the taxpayers in the country). Taxes, Dargitz said, are not paid on the interest of the loan which makes the loan less expensive and that, in turn, deprives the Federal treasury of certain income.

Dargitz went on to say that after looking at the IDA minutes on the Nittany Manor Joint Authority project, he did not see true economic benefit to the area.

Although sharing Dargitz's concerns and supporting him philosophically, Taricani differed, saying that she felt satisfied the criteria had been met; however, the printed information she had before her did not meet the needs test. Taricani also said that in the case of Farrell's application, Council did not have the IDA Hearing minutes (there was insufficient time to produce them) so she wasn't prepared to consider it.

After swearing in each of the project's representatives, Dargitz asked Bruce Heim to summarize the Nittany Manor Venture.

#### 1. Nittany Manor Joint Venture

Heim explained that when completed, the project would create between 26 and 35 new jobs. Presently the 49-unit motel runs at 60 percent occupancy. By adding a lounge, and using about two more acres of prime commercial land the motel would become a viable entity. Heim added that they owned Granny's Restaurant with its five acres of land and were buying more land behind the Sunoco Station, all of which would be developed into other uses that would inspire use of the motel. (McCormick added later there would be commercial structures erected on the site.)

Asked by Dargitz if he must abandon the project if he did not receive an IDA loan, Heim said that, yes, it would not go forward. The outcome of which would be that two and a half acres on North Atherton Street would continue to be unused. Heim projected that if the excess land on the Grange site could be plotted with the other land [the two and a half acres], it would become a good commercial hub which would create a larger tax base in Ferguson Township.

Dargitz reiterated his concern that this kind of project made him very uncomfortable and that it stretched the intent of IDA legislation. Taricani felt that she had to accept the presentation, and with no information to the contrary, it would only be fair to take positive action. Taricani addressed a previous concern of Council's: having definite guidelines to measure the requests for IDA funding.

Taricani then said that on the basis of the testimony that employment would be increased by 26-35 workers, she would move that Council approve the Nittany Manor Joint Venture's request for IDA funding. Bailey seconded, and the motion passed 4 to 1, with Dargitz casting the dissenting vote.

Heim agreed with the points in the discussion and also said that a requirement was needed saying the project's representative must be notified to make a formal presentation with the plan's submission to the municipalities. He added that he was unaware that his project would be contested and thus unprepared. Dargitz stated that certain criteria needed to be established--perhaps on a one-page sheet that would answer key issues and questions; this would make everyone more comfortable with the process.

#### 2. Centre Welding Supply, Inc.

Carl Raup, real estate agent for HH&B and representative for Centre

Welding Supply, presented the case for IDA funding. He said that Centre Welding now has an operation in College Township on Transfer Road, but unfortunately they were being forced to vacate the building. Centre Welding does a very high-volume business in Centre County and prefers to remain in the area. They are planning to buy a building on Commercial Boulevard from Ellis F. (Pat) Houser who is willing to lend them the money at 11½ percent.

Explaining that the emphasis of their operation had shifted from St. Mary's to DuBois to Clearfield and now to State College, Raup added that with the loan they would be able to stay in the area and thus retain their employment here. [The IDA minutes report there are six existing jobs and three more to be added besides transferring the 15 employees from the Falls Creek plant to this area.] However, they could move to Clearfield if factors such as reasonable real estate, etc., cannot be found here.

Describing the plant's operations, Raup said Centre Welding was installing a retesting facility that would enable them to work on their propane tank cylinders here rather than transport them to DuBois. He added that aspects of Centre Welding's work is processing and manufacturing in that they buy large quantities of gases and break them down into small quantities. Ninety percent of their business is off-premises. He went on to explain that their name is not indicative of their operation which is to create and supply welding gases. Centre Welding also lease the tanks that contain the gases (15,000 tanks are used presently in the Centre Region) and do extensive testing since State law requires that each tank must be tested to ensure no gases leak into the air supply. Centre Welding is inclined towards closing its St. Mary's plant and moving into this region since the market is shifting in this direction.

Dargitz asked if, under oath, Raup could say that without the IDA loan, the facility would have to leave the area. Raup replied that it would not be feasible under typical conventional financing without the IDA loan. He continued that he could only speak from what he had learned from Mrs. Goss and Centre Welding--that without the opportunity to be where they are now, that without this facility, they would look at taking the retesting and so on out of the area.

Dean then moved that Council approve IDA funding for the Centre Welding Supply project. After Stewart's second, the motion carried unanimously.

### 3. Charles A. & Constance B. Farrell

McCormick announced that the minutes from the previous day's IDA hearing on the Farrell project had not yet been rendered. He described the project as partly the result of Nittany Beverage expanding their operation (with IDA funding) into Farrell's North Patterson Street building. Farrell plans to move to the new Industrial Park, CATO. There he plans to develop a \$240,000 project, borrowing \$185,000 for his business and for business space for a tenant. So, said McCormick, it is a brand new project on raw land for an existing business in the State College area.

When asked by Dargitz if he would go out of business without IDA funding, Farrell replied no. Then, Dargitz stated, you are not really preserving jobs.

Farrell explained that after gathering all the information he could on land and building prices, he made a firm commitment [selling his building to Nittany Beverage]. At that point the prices went up and he found he could not afford the building he needed. Farrell said his banker suggested an IDA loan, which, if approved, would allow them to expand into larger premises. Farrell added that Hydro-Flex Corp., who had been his tenant for five years and is rapidly expanding, has made a written commitment to also occupy a portion of the proposed building.

No, Farrell said, we won't go out of business. We've been in Centre County 24 years, expanded 6 times, and will continue to do so.

Bailey moved to approve IDA funding for the Farrell project; Stewart seconded, and the motion passed, 3 to 1. Dargitz rendered the negative vote; Taricani abstained.

The IDA Applications Public Hearing was adjourned at 7:55 pm; Dean moved; Bailey seconded.

## II. Public Hearing on Proposed Use for Revenue Sharing Funds

Executive Director of Centre County Home Health Services, Nancy Noll, was the sole speaker at this public hearing. She said their agency had made 1590 visits to 45 households in College Township last year, 85 percent of which were medically-oriented (ordered by a physician). Over 1000 of these medically-oriented visits, said Noll, are financed by medicare which always pays full costs. Home Health's primary deficits occurred when patients were on State Assistance and/or on self-pay. The "near poor" were those earning in the vicinity of \$350 per month, which left one unqualified for medical assistance. Most patients who received no assistance paid for 35 percent of the cost themselves.

Dargitz inquired how the deficit was financed since municipalities don't necessarily finance the loss. Noll replied that some municipalities give more than their deficit. With the new tax credit available to corporations who donate, Home Health hopes for funding from that source. United Way is not allocating money for their agency, but Home Health does plan a major County-wide appeal in December. College Township's deficit last year was \$3502.

Taricani stated that Home Health did an incredible job and that Council would give what it could, considering its financial constraints. Taricani added that because of the elderly high rise in College Township, there was an inordinate number of visits per population. She said, we were delighted to have them here but most were not residents of the Township. At 8:15 Taricani moved and Bailey seconded the adjournment of the public hearing.

## III. Public Hearing on Proposed Amendments to College Township Zoning and Subdivision Ordinances

Dargitz stated the history on the proposed amendments was a checkered one, bouncing between Planning Commission and Council. A month ago the two

bodies met to discuss in detail each of the amendments and agreed by consensus which ones were to come before Council and which should be delayed. The proposed changes, he said, were mainly editorial with no controversial substance. The Planning Commission had adopted the ones that Council were presently considering.

Receiving no public response, Dargitz then referred to the legal ad which summarized them for the public hearing.

Taricani moved with Dean seconding that Council approve the amendments to College Township's Zoning & Subdivision Ordinances as advertised on October 26, 1983.

Lechner said that both Ron Weis and Robert Watkins requested that item one regarding the removal of R-0 (Residential-Offices) and I-2 (Light Industrial) from the Zoning Ordinance be changed to removing just I-2. (This recommendation is mentioned in the October 27, 1983 Planning Commission's minutes.)

Dargitz said he felt that when an R-0 District Zone was needed in College Township, that was the time to reinstate it; having a district identified in the text without being shown on the zoning map was inviting undesirable consequences.

Taricani said historically we have always held on to these things for some purpose but the purpose never came and the pile of statistics and details became a maze. Stating that she was opposed to continuing anything predicted on possible future events, Taricani favored keeping intact her original motion.

After the question was called, the motion passed by unanimous consent and the hearing was adjourned at 8:15.

#### REGULAR MEETING

At 8:30 pm Chairman Dargitz called to order the regular meeting of Council.

#### Open Discussion

Fred Smith, Councilman-elect, addressed a potentially dangerous situation in which a Township resident had placed two strands of barbed wire around his property to prevent intrusion. Thinking a child could be particularly vulnerable, Smith had contacted the police who informed him they had no jurisdiction.

Dargitz said he could find nothing in the Zoning Ordinance that could provide grounds for its removal, except perhaps the Nuisance Ordinance. Lechner reported that he had contacted the owner and his partner but with no positive results. Taricani suggested they check the College Township Code where a section exists on 75 enumerated powers; this situation would come under health and safety.

Dargitz added that if that didn't work, the Township solicitor could advise Council on a solution.

Approval of the Minutes

Taricani moved that the minutes of the October 13, 1983 meeting and the October 27, 1983 meeting be approved. Bailey seconded.

Dean proposed the following corrections: (1) The October 13 minutes, Page four, last Paragraph, last line should read, Dargitz pronounced it, so recognized. (2) Also the October 13 minutes, Page nine, third Paragraph should read Code Administration Committee.

The motion with the added corrections was approved.

Manager's Update

Lechner reported no recent developments since the October 27, 1983 meeting when he updated Council on feedback from the PUC directive.

Financial Report

Hayden had no new information since the October 27, 1983 Budget work session. Taricani moved that the financial report be received; Bailey seconded. The motion passed.

CORRESPONDENCE1. Letter from Alvi Voigt, Dated November 1983, Regarding the Bus Route Change

Voigt's letter protested the use of Township's taxpayer support of CATA now that the service from Lemont to Elby's had been eliminated. He suggested only users pay for the privilege. Taricani agreed saying the Dalevue residents were especially inconvenienced by the change. Dean differed with Voigt's argument; he felt residents affected by the route change are still fairly close to a bus line. Clarifying that she didn't concur with Voigt's final conclusion, Taricani still protested the discontinuation of that particular route.

Dargitz said he would ask Tom to forward Voigt's letter to Lloyd Niemann, the Township representative on CATA, asking him to take it into consideration without any recommendation by Council.

2. Letter from Eleanor Coburn dated October 18, 1983, Concerning Air Pollution from Woodburning Stoves

Coburn's letter asked Council to consider passing a law that would require all wood burners to become equipped with catalytic converters in order to alleviate the air pollution, which was particularly prevalent in the Spring Creek Estates area.

Dargitz responded that even if College Township kept its air clean, smoke polluted air would still drift over from neighboring municipalities.

Taricani didn't feel much could be done except to forward the letter to the Solicitor. Dean added that the issue had been examined over a year ago and Council found it had no jurisdiction. Dargitz suggested the letter be forwarded to the Environmental Resources' regional office in Williamsport for investigation.

BUSINESS

1. Rezoning Request from Uni-Tec, Inc. for Highland Development Joint Venture

In a November 3, 1983 letter to Council, Thomas F. Songer, Uni-Tec Engineer asked that a tract of land for their client, Highland Development Joint Venture, be rezoned from R-2 to R-3 making it consistent with the remainder of the parcel which is zoned R-4 in Ferguson Township. Songer requested a public hearing as soon as possible.

Bailey commented that when it was discussed a year ago, it was thought to have made a good buffer zone. Dargitz said it had been controversial for years because of family residential zone to the east of that property. A motion was then passed to refer the request to the Planning Commission. Taricani moved; Dean seconded.

2. Fee Schedules for 1984 Budget

To give some concept of the present fee revenues based on this year's 10-month time period, Lechner reported the following: Zoning Permits - \$1500, Peddlers Licenses - \$20, Sign Permits - \$550, Subdivision Permits - \$336.

Zoning Officer Art Beward had suggested increased fees on the Zoning Permits with, again, no fee, except a \$10 minimum for a permit up to \$1000. For a permit beyond \$1000, he had recommended 1/20 of one percent of the cost of the project. Dargitz said that a two-lot subdivision required considerable work on the owner's part but little work for the Township staff; however, a subdivision of perhaps 80 lots is very costly timewise for the staff. Dargitz asked if they could devise a sliding scale that would penalize the small subdivision; perhaps using the number of lots as a measurement which would reflect the amount of service a person would receive.

Dean's view, too, was that building permits should reflect the cost to the Township. He cautioned that categories such as no-cost-up-to-\$1000 are subject to bracket-creep in the same way as a person qualifies for higher income tax brackets as a result of inflation.

Concerned that the Zoning Officer didn't have adequate time to inspect sites, Dargitz said that he was not sure that enough checks and balances were involved with the process. With a higher fee, he said, we could provide a more comprehensive service. Lechner suggested that an in-house engineer could help fill that need. Dargitz agreed with Dean's premise that fees should support the cost of the operation; not just foster revenue.

Dargitz asked Lechner, when he had time, to recommend a set of increased fees that can be incorporated into the 1984 Budget--something that can be

enacted shortly after the first of the year. Dargitz added most of the fees had not been adjusted for years, and it was time to look at them again in relationship to their costs.

Taricani asked to have the existing fees listed along with the proposed ones.

#### COMMITTEE REPORTS

Dean reported the Finance Committee had not met since his last report. Code Enforcement is to meet Monday.

The Transportation Committee, said Bailey, had received notification that construction on the by-pass is expected to begin in May 1984 with completion scheduled in five years.

The COG Executive Committee had met several times, once with Code Enforcement, reported Taricani. The issue of strengthening the position of Director of Administration was discussed, with some members (including Taricani) feeling it could be restructured quickly; others thought it would take at least six months. The consensus was to advertise the position as is and to find a replacement for Kurtz, while at the same time, study and make changes in the job description.

As to Code Enforcement, Taricani said the Committee members specifically wanted to know what degree of risk the municipalities were willing to live with; strong opinions in the Committee were expressed as to how much code enforcement was needed--sample or total inspection.

Dean elaborated on two points: (1) On assuming that a sample inspection was sufficient, for example 50 percent of a 20-unit apartment building, the element of risk concerning the uninspected units was also 50 percent, and (2) Even though the Code Committee had made great efforts to reduce the impact of Code Enforcement on the Municipalities, no one yet had found a workable solution. They needed a concrete proposal (not necessarily a firm formula) that could be implemented.

Dean also said that although a goal of the Code Committee was to make code standards uniform throughout the Municipalities which would simplify administration, he felt that was a low priority.

Taricani added that the Code Committee had asked Mr. Quigley to make general recommendations in areas that could be renewed without interfering with the over-all program. These would not be dealt with until next year.

Stewart, giving an account of the Public Safety Committee's action, said that an opinion prevailed among Committee members that Ferguson Township preferred to own its own fire company. The Chief of Alpha Fire Company discussed the percentages of fires in Ferguson Township saying it was economically unfeasible to do so. The issue was referred back to Ferguson Township.

Stewart also said that Tom Kurtz was to screen offers from volunteers to study the proposed regional police force. After the field of applicants are narrowed down, they would be interviewed--probably at the next meeting.

Dean suggested schedules of the budget meetings as well as work sessions should be mailed to the two new Councilmen, stressing their presence would be welcomed.

Regarding appointments to Township Boards and Committees, Dargitz asked Lechner to contact potential candidates in the next two or three weeks to inquire if they are willing to serve, but not yet extending an offer.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

Next Council meeting--Tuesday, November 22, 1983 at 7:30 pm.

ADJOURNMENT

With business concluded for the evening, Dargitz proclaimed the meeting adjourned at 9:25 pm.

Respectfully submitted,

C. Thomas Lechner  
Secretary

CTL:jh:key